

### **Table of Contents**

	n	*1	10	М	ш	cti		n
_			u	u	u	LLI	v	

The Success of the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act in 2018	3
Glossary of Key Terms	6
FAQs - SB 1393 - The Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act	8
How Does SB 1393 Impact Me? Pre-Sentence	10
Sentence on Appeal	11
Final Sentence	12
Overview of Penal Code § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing What is Recall of Sentence and Resentencing?	13
What does the 1170(d)(1) Resentencing law say?	14
How long has PC § 1170(d)(1) existed?	15
Is PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing mandatory or discretionary?	15
Is there a Petition for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?	15
Do I need a lawyer to request PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?	16
What are the eligibility criteria for PC § 1170(d)(1)?	17
Who is currently being recommended for PC § 1170(d)(1)?	19
How do I request a review for resentencing?	23
What will happen at the PC § 1170(d)(1) hearings?	27
Will I be represented by an attorney for my Recall and Resentencing?	28
Will I got Out to Court ("OTC") for resentencing?	29
What can I do to prepare for recall and resentencing?	30
If I took a plea agreement, will it impact my resentencing?	32
If the court decides not to resentence me, can I appeal the decision?	33
Next Steps	34
Contacts List	35
Resource List	<b>37</b>
Appendix	38
Public Comment for Resentencing Regulations	39

### Introduction

### The Success of the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act in 2018

California has some of the most severe sentence enhancements in the nation. According to the Public Policy Institute of California (PPIC), California has more than 100 separate code sections that add years to a person's prison or jail sentence. As of 2016, one of the most commonly used sentence enhancements was the "nickel prior," a 5-year enhancement for serious prior felonies. Californians face close to 100,000 additional years of incarceration because of this 5-year sentence enhancement.

In 2018, Ella Baker Center staff, members, interns, and allies lobbied in Sacramento and across California, meeting with elected officials to discuss the importance of proposed bills impacting California's sentencing laws, including Senate Bill 1393.



SB 1393, authored by Senators Holly Mitchell (Los Angeles) and Ricardo Lara (Long Beach), and signed into law by Governor Brown, allows judges to strike (or not impose) a 5-year enhancement for a prior serious felony.

Also known as the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act, SB 1393 helps restore balance in the judicial process, address extreme sentences, and reduce racial disparities in the criminal justice system by allowing judges to decide what is best in the interest of justice. The passage of this bill builds on the growing momentum in California to enact criminal justice reforms that divest from ineffective mass incarceration policies and invest in community-based solutions like mental healthcare, education, and substance-use treatment.



There is more information about SB 1393 and the other policy and community organizing efforts of the Ella Baker Center for Human Rights on our website at <a href="https://www.ellabakercenter.org">www.ellabakercenter.org</a>.



### What is the purpose of this Toolkit?

### This Toolkit is informational and does not provide individualized legal advice.

This Toolkit does not constitute legal advice and does not establish an attorney-client relationship. We suggest finding the sections that are most relevant to your case and determining whether the steps provided would be safe and worthwhile to take for yourself and your loved ones. We recommend that you consult any attorneys working on your case or your parole hearing before asking a District Attorney to refer you for resentencing.

## We created this Toolkit for people with 5-year sentence enhancements for prior felonies and their loved ones. This Toolkit also provides general information on Recall and Resentencing (Penal Code § 1170(d)(1)).

This SB 1393 and PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing Toolkit is designed to be both a specific and a general resource. Specifically, this Toolkit provides information for people serving sentences who have 5-year enhancements for prior serious felony convictions. It is now possible to request resentencing on the basis of these enhancements because of the passage of SB 1393 (effective January 1, 2019). We also hope that this Toolkit's overview of PC § 1170(d)(1) Recall and Resentencing law and processes will be helpful for people seeking information about resentencing in other contexts. This Toolkit contains information that applies to people who are awaiting sentencing or already sentenced under California state laws. This includes people who are currently serving time in either state or county custody. There is information that applies both to people who are currently on appeal and also to people whose sentence is "final."

### The information in this Toolkit is subject to change, and we hope you share your experiences with us so we can improve it over time.

The information in this Toolkit may not be a current, accurate, or complete description of this area of the law at the time you are reading it. We are basing the information in this Toolkit on the best of our understanding given conversations with CDCR, BPH, advocates and family members on the inside and outside, as well as people who have been recently resentenced and released.

We expect that the legal processes described in this Toolkit will continue to change as:

- CDCR holds Public Comment for updating Title 15 regulations for PC § 1170(d)(1)
- Governor Gavin Newsom's office shapes the direction of PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing
- Courts formalize their approach to these resentencing referrals and proceedings
- · District Attorney's offices come on board and establish their own policies and criteria
- Sheriffs and the Board of Parole Hearings decide whether to make referrals
- Various amendments to laws and/or ballot propositions come into play

We encourage you to share this guide with your loved ones and your networks. We rely upon the insights and experience of people inside as well as their support networks on the outside to improve this Toolkit over time. This Toolkit will be updated as we learn more about how people are being resentenced and as new agency referrals and court processes take shape.

Your loved ones and support network can also reach out to <u>policy@ellabakercenter.org</u> with questions or comments. Please send us your feedback on this Toolkit and your experiences with 5-year sentence enhancements and the PC § 1170(d)(1) resentencing process to:

Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, 1419 34th Ave, Suite 202, Oakland, CA 94601

### We would like to acknowledge

**Our family on the inside**, people who are currently incarcerated, people who have recently returned home, our family and loved ones on the outside who make up lifesaving support networks, and the advocates and community groups who work tirelessly to improve the lives of our loved ones while they are incarcerated and to bring them home to us.

**Editors and Peer Reviewers** including Hillary Blout, Taylor Boutelle, Aswan Boudreaux, Isabella Borgeson, Adamu Chan, Jeremy Chan, Kayla Gordon, Emily Harris, Caitlin Kelly Henry, Veronica Hernandez, Johanna Hill, Amber-Rose Howard, Forrest Lee Jones, James King, Colby Lenz, Mikell Leyba, Robin Lipetzky, Ash Lynette, Derick Morgan, Zakiya Prince, Rahsaan Thomas, Linda Thomason, Frida Ramirez, Ana Xayavong, and Christina Winters. A special thanks to the principal author of this toolkit, Elliot Hosman.

Co-sponsors of the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act including ACLU of California, California Coalition for Women Prisoners, Californians United for a Responsible Budget, Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights of Los Angeles, Drug Policy Alliance, Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, Friends Committee on Legislation of California, Legal Services for Prisoners with Children, Pillars of the Community, Tides Advocacy, and Women's Foundation of California - Women's Policy Institute: Glenn Backes, Lizzie Buchen, Sabina Crocette, Emily Harris, Eric Henderson, Eunisses Hernandez, Amber-Rose Howard, Jess Jollett, Jim Lindburg, Romarilyn Ralston, Christopher Sanchez, and Kathryn Snyder.

**Authors of the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act** including Senator Mitchell, Bridget Kolakosky, Alan Moore, and Senator Lara; Principal Coauthor: Assemblymember Kalra; and Coauthors: Senators Beall and Bradford, and Assemblymembers Carrillo, Jones-Sawyer, Quirk, Skinner, and Weber.

Designers Sabiha Basrai, Emma Li, Terence Long, Norma Orozco, and Design Action Collective.

This Toolkit was created by Ella Baker Center for Human Rights © 2019, and was updated on December 28, 2020. This Toolkit may not be altered, reproduced, or used for commercial purposes without express written permission.

### **Glossary of Key Terms**

§ or §§ - a legal symbol meaning "section," or when used twice "sections," referring to the numbering of a specific law or regulation.

AB 1618 - a 2019 California Assembly bill authored by Assemblymember Jones-Sawyer that would make "Harris Waivers" (language in a plea bargain that forfeits a defendant's right to resentencing and the benefits of future policy reforms) void as against public policy and unenforceable.

AB 1812 - a California Assembly bill sponsored by the Committee on Budget that amended PC § 1170(d)(1) to provide CDCR with funding to make resentencing referrals and to advise judges to consider evidence of rehabilitation and post-conviction factors. The law went into effect upon Governor Brown's signature on June 27, 2018.

AB 2942 - a California Assembly bill sponsored by Assemblymember Ting that amended PC § 1170(d)(1) and authorized district attorneys to make referrals for recall and resentencing. Co-sponsors of AB 2942 included the Sentence Review Project (of the organization For The People) and the Santa Clara District Attorney's Office. The law went into effect on January 1, 2019.

**BPH** - Board of Parole Hearings, a body of CDCR that decides people's suitability for release and parole supervision.

**CDCR** - California's prison agency, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation.

**Cohort** - CDCR's term for a group of people eligible for resentencing referrals (PC § 1170(d)(1)).

**DA** - the "District Attorney" or prosecutor in a county, either referring to individual staff members or the office as a whole.

**CDC Form 22 and 602 ("602s")** - CDCR administrative appeals to address various grievances at the facility level all the way up to Sacramento. These appeals must be exhausted before an issue can be addressed in a civil lawsuit in court.

**Final Sentence** - when someone with a criminal conviction has "exhausted" all of their appeals at each court level, meaning they either obtained or were denied some measure of relief, OR the deadlines to file appeals have passed, their sentence becomes "final."

(Writ of) Habeas Corpus - a civil petition filed by a person who is incarcerated against the facility's warden (or another custodian) asking the court to determine whether their detention is lawful. There is typically no time limit on the filing of a writ of habeas corpus.

**Nickel Prior -** a 5-year sentence enhancement (PC § 667.5) for each prior serious felony. SB 1393 made these no longer mandatory, as of January 1, 2019.

**Penal Code** - the official publication of California's laws regarding crimes, sentencing, and related matters; abbreviated as "PC," "P.C.," or "CPC" depending on the context.

PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing - a way to get back into court to be sentenced anew upon the referral of a court (within 120 days of sentencing) or a government agency (at any time by CDCR, BPH, the District Attorney, or the Sheriff). You may hear California Penal Code section 1170(d)(1) referred to by a variety of shorthands and legal terms, including:

- "1170" (note that § 1170 is a large section of the Penal Code dealing with a range of sentencing considerations),
- "1170(d)" (as it was originally enumerated in the Penal Code in the 1960s; note that there are now subsections (1) and (2), and 1170(d)(2) is a different process that only applies to people convicted under the age of 18),
- "recall and resentencing", "sentence recall", "sentence review", and within CDCR internally: "RRRP" (the Recall and Resentencing Referral Program).

Post-Conviction Factors - events and accomplishments during incarceration that speak to preparedness for release and current risk to public safety. These can include evidence of rehabilitation and in-custody conduct, such as: laudatory chronos and certificates, disciplinary actions including RVRs and SHU terms, programming and leadership roles, volunteering, educational accomplishments including classes taken and degrees, housing assignment, work assignment and supervisor reports, age, amount of time already served in # of years and as a % of total sentence, medical condition and necessary accommodations, and other events and accomplishments.

**Pro Se (or Pro Per)** - representing oneself in a criminal or post-conviction proceeding without the assistance of an attorney.

RVR (or "115") - a "Rules Violation Report" or disciplinary write-up for a range of alleged in-custody conduct including not standing for count, failure to report for a ducat (prison pass that allows movement within prison), or refusing a drug test. An RVR results in an investigation, a hearing, and potential discipline. Serious RVRs are often cited by BPH to argue against someone's parole suitability or by CDCR to deny someone discretionary relief such as PC § 1170(d)(1) resentencing referrals.

SB 1393 - a California Senate bill sponsored by Senators Mitchell and Lara ending the mandatory requirement that judges add a 5-year sentence enhancement for each prior serious felony on a person's record. The law went into effect on January 1, 2019.

SB 136 - a California Senate bill sponsored by Senator Wiener repealing the 1-year sentence enhancement for most prior felony prison or jail terms, except for prior convictions of a sexually violent offense as defined in subdivision (b) of Section 6600 of the Welfare and Institutions Code. The law went into effect on January 1, 2020.

**Title 15 Regulations** - CDCR's administrative rules which outline the agency's policies and practices and which are subject to Public Comment periods prior to enactment.



### SB 1393 - The Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act

#### What is SB 1393?

SB 1393 is a California Senate bill signed into law by Governor Brown on September 2018. Also known as the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act of 2018, the bill was co-authored by State Senators Holly Mitchell (Los Angeles) and Ricardo Lara (Long Beach). The law went into effect on January 1st, 2019.

SB 1393 ends the mandatory requirement that judges add a 5-year sentence enhancement for each prior serious felony on a person's record. This 5-year enhancement is also referred to as a "nickel prior." SB 1393 amends Penal Code sections 667 and 1385 making it optional for judges to give a 5-year enhancement for each prior serious felony (PC §§ 1192.7(c), 1192.8, and 667.5(c)) conviction.

### Does SB 1393 apply to all sentence enhancements?

No. SB 1393 is specific to 5-year enhancements for prior serious felonies. However, in 2017, two laws were signed that affect gun enhancements and drug enhancements:

SB 620 (Bradford) ends the mandatory application of **gun** (or firearm) **enhancements under PC** §§ 12022.5 and 12022.53.

**SB 180** (Mitchell) repeals the **three-year enhancement for prior drug-related felonies** (Health and Safety Code § 11370.2), with the exception of prior convictions involving a minor (§ 11380).

The process for resentencing for other enhancements may be similar to what is described for SB 1393 in this document. As of December 2020, CDCR is also considering people with SB 620 gun enhancements and SB 180 drug enhancements for resentencing referrals

Ella Baker Center for Human Rights along with the team that passed SB 1393 also co-sponsored SB 136 (Wiener), a reintroduction of SB 1392 (Mitchell). This legislation eliminates the mandatory one-year enhancement for prior felonies that resulted in a prison or jail term (PC § 667.5). SB 136 was signed by Governor Newsom on October 8, 2019, and the repeal went into effect on January 1, 2020. SB 136 is not currently retroactive, but there are efforts in 2021 to change that.

We anticipate additional legislative reform on other sentence enhancements in the future which would likely require similar paths to relief as outlined in this Toolkit.

### Is SB 1393 retroactive?

No, SB 1393 is not retroactive and did not create a right to resentencing for all people with 5-year sentence enhancements for prior serious felonies.

However, CDCR is currently in the process of reviewing all people in-custody with these 5-year enhancements for potential referrals for recall and resentencing via PC section 1170(d)(1).

Even though SB 1393 is not retroactive, this Toolkit will discuss various ways to prepare and self-advocate to get 5-year prior serious felony enhancements removed if they were already applied to your sentence. You can read about these options in **How Does SB 1393 Impact Me?** below.

### What paperwork do I need to file with CDCR to be considered for a resentencing referral?

Technically, none. The Office of Legal Affairs for CDCR is working with the Office of Research to identify all individuals currently serving time in California with 5-year sentence enhancements for a prior serious felony. CDCR's Classifications Services Unit and Case Records will process the list of individuals eligible for Penal Code section 1170(d)(1) discretionary relief. Individual packets will then be drafted so that the CDCR Secretary can consider each candidate. We expect that a significant factor CDCR staff will consider will be involvement in rehabilitation programs, and negative factors will likely include disciplinary history, specifically any major rules violations or solitary confinement terms in the last five years, and whether someone has a parole eligibility or release date within 18 months. Anyone the CDCR Secretary recommends for resentencing should receive necessary paperwork, and CDCR should also notify the court, district attorney, and public defender in the county of conviction.

CDCR staff have told defense attorneys and advocacy organizations that it can be helpful for people to inform both Sacramento and the counselor assigned to them at their facility if they are eligible for resentencing on the basis of having one or more 5-year sentence enhancements. For more information about self-advocacy see **How Does SB 1393 Impact Me?** and **Overview of Penal Code § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing**.

### What is the timeline for resentencing consideration by the CDCR Secretary?

The timeline for receiving a resentencing referral for previously applied 5-year enhancements is currently unknown. SB 1393 went into effect on January 1, 2019. In June 2019, CDCR began writing referral letters to ask trial court judges to bring people back to court and use their new judicial discretion to consider removing 5-year sentence enhancements for prior serious felonies. According to CDCR, there are a large number of people who need to be reviewed.

#### What happens if someone is recommended for resentencing?

A resentencing recommendation does not guarantee a lesser sentence. The trial court judge has broad discretion on whether or how to act on a recommendation letter from CDCR. The judge will decide whether or not to set a hearing to examine the matter. CDCR should send the referral letter to the county's Public Defender office, if there is one, otherwise the court will appoint an attorney from a pro bono bar panel. At the resentencing hearing, the judge will decide whether or not to recall and resentence. If the judge decides to resentence, credit must be given for time served and no additional time can be added to the sentence. For more information on resentencing, see **Overview of Penal Code § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing**.

### Are people who accepted a plea bargain eligible for resentencing of their 5-year enhancements?

Yes. The resentencing law that CDCR is using to refer people back to court is Penal Code section 1170(d)(1). This law clearly states that people who accepted plea bargains are eligible for resentencing. That being said, some courts are still denying relief on the basis of the original sentence being the result of a plea bargain.

# How Does SB 1393 Impact Me?

If you have any 5-year enhancements on your current sentence for prior serious felonies, you may be able to use the Fair and Just Sentencing Reform Act to obtain resentencing. This section will walk through various paths of self-advocacy that you and your support network can pursue to remove 5-year enhancements.

You do not need to pay an attorney to request review for resentencing. If you are already working with an attorney on criminal proceedings, appeals, habeas petitions, or in preparation for the parole board, you can discuss these advocacy strategies with your lawyer or reach out to the public defender's office to see if any would interfere or work against other aspects of your case

How to best use SB 1393 depends on where you are in the sentencing process. We will explain each of these stages in detail in the following pages. The different stages of sentencing include:

#### **Pre-Sentence**

People who are pretrial or awaiting sentencing, whether in custody or released out on bail or their own recognizance. Because of SB 1393, the judge in your case now has discretion (as of January 1, 2019) whether or not to apply 5-year enhancements for each of your prior serious felonies.

### Sentence On Appeal

People whose cases are active on appeal (or the deadline for appealing their sentence hasn't yet passed). If you were sentenced prior to January 1, 2019 and you are still fighting your conviction or your sentence on appeal, you can address the issue of the 5-year enhancements on your sentence now that they are no longer mandatory after the passage of SB 1393.

#### **Final Sentence**

People serving time for a sentence that is "final" (no more appeals). If you have already been sentenced and your sentence is final, you can use SB 1393 as a qualifying "change in circumstances" to request a review from one of the agencies who are authorized by law to recommend you for the discretionary recall and resentencing process in Penal Code section 1170(d)(1).

Pre-Sentence: I have not yet been sentenced in a California criminal case. I am pretrial awaiting sentencing either in custody or released out on bail or my own recognizance.

Effective January 1, 2019, SB 1393 amended PC sections 667 and 1385 to make it optional for the judge to impose 5-year sentence enhancements for prior serious felony terms.

### **Option 1**

The judge deciding your case now has discretion whether or not to apply 5-year enhancements for any prior serious felonies when sentencing you. Your attorney can argue that 5-year

enhancements should not be applied to your case under the "interests of justice" standard. The "interests of justice" standard is not clearly defined in the law, but judges tend to look at post-conviction factors, and should be referencing the factors laid out in PC section 1170(d)(1) such as behavior in-custody, record of rehabilitation, and reduced risk to public safety. Your attorney can point to various facts in terms of your case, your activities while incarcerated pretrial or in a previous term, aspects of your life experience, and your role in your family and support network to show why you shouldn't be further punished by these discretionary enhancements.

### Option 2

If you are considering taking a plea agreement, your attorney should fight to exclude any waivers of your right to resentencing from the terms of your plea agreement. This will be helpful down the road so that you can request resentencing on another basis. For more information on plea agreements and resentencing waivers, go to the "If I took a plea agreement, will it impact my resentencing?" sub-section of the Overview of Penal Code § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing.

### Is My Sentence "Final"?

For state felony convictions in California, you typically have 60 days after your trial court judgment to file for an appeal with the California Court of Appeal; the Court of Appeal's decision becomes "final" after 30 days, after which you have 10 days to file for an appeal with the California Supreme Court; after the California Supreme Court either renders its decision or refuses to take up the appeal, you have 90 days to file for review (to request a "writ of certiorari") from the United States Supreme Court.

To determine if your sentence is "final" or if you have passed the deadlines for appealing your sentence or conviction, please speak to your attorney and/or request the **Retroactivity-Finality Cheat Sheet** by the First District Appellate Project in the **Resource List**.

### Sentence on Appeal: My sentence isn't final because I am still on appeal, or deadlines haven't yet passed for me to file appeals.

If you were sentenced prior to January 1, 2019 and you are still fighting your conviction or your sentence on appeal, you can address the issue of any 5-year enhancements on your sentence now that they are no longer mandatory after the passage of SB 1393.

#### Option 1

If you are currently appealing your conviction, you should ask your attorney to argue that the appellate judge should remand (send) your case back to the trial court judge so that they can exercise their new discretion under SB 1393 to remove or strike any 5-year enhancements applied to your sentence. This will be based on the fact that the California Supreme Court applies the benefits of new laws to cases under appellate review per the doctrine of "Estrada Retroactivity" (see In re Estrada (1965) 63 Cal.2d 740). The principle of Estrada Retroactivity holds that recent policy reforms should be applied to your case if you are still on appeal and aspects of your sentence are now discretionary (optional) instead of mandatory.

### Option 2

If you have exhausted your California appeals but the period to file for review with the United States Supreme Court is still running, you or your attorney can still file a writ of habeas corpus,

or your attorney can file a "motion to recall the remittitur," to get your case back into state court. You or your attorney can argue that "Estrada Retroactivity" allows the judge to use new discretion to remove the 5-year enhancements from your sentence.

# Final Sentence: I am serving time in State Prison for a sentence that is final, meaning I have no more appeals or I have passed the deadlines to file my state or federal appeals.

After you have "exhausted" all of your appeals, or passed the deadlines to file these appeals, your sentence becomes "final." If you were already sentenced with at least one 5-year enhancement and your conviction is final, you may be able to take advantage of the recent passage of SB 1393 and the newly amended PC section 1170(d)(1) to be "resentenced" in the "interests of justice." You can use SB 1393 as a qualifying "change in circumstances" to request a review from agencies who are authorized by law to recommend you for the discretionary recall of sentence and resentencing process.

In order to get back to court for resentencing, you will need either CDCR, BPH, or the District Attorney to send a letter to the court recommending you for resentencing. This recommendation letter will give the trial court back the authority and discretion to resentence you, which the court lost 120 days after your sentencing. The court will then decide whether or not to schedule a hearing. If a hearing is set, the judge may use their discretion to recall your sentence and set a resentencing hearing. At the resentencing hearing, the judge may use their discretion to remove any 5-year enhancements from your sentence.

### For more in-depth information on all of the options below, refer to the Overview of Recall and Resentencing (PC § 1170(d)(1)) section.

#### Option 1

Ask CDCR to recommend you for resentencing. This can look like an informal or formal request (Form 602) informing your counselor that you are eligible for CDCR's 5-year Prior Serious Felony Enhancement "cohort." You can also send a letter to CDCR in Sacramento informing them of your eligibility. You can also advocate for yourself with facility staff who may be willing to initiate the request for you to be considered in CDCR's internal evaluation process. CDCR referrals are ultimately decided by the Secretary in Sacramento.

### Option 2

Ask the District Attorney's office to recommend you for resentencing. This can look like: writing a letter to the DA informing them of your record of rehabilitation and requesting a referral for resentencing; working with the Public Defender or the attorney who argued your case at trial to ask the DA about a referral; and/or asking the Sentence Review Project, cosponsor of AB 2942, who are assisting DA offices to create process and criteria for making PC section 1170(d)(1) referrals. Always exercise caution when approaching District Attorneys, as anything you say can be used against you in other proceedings or at parole hearings.

### Option 3

You can request that the **Board of Parole Hearings** recommend you for resentencing. However the Board of Parole Hearings is currently deferring to CDCR to make resentencing referrals.

#### Option 4

In **other proceedings**, such as a SB 1437 Felony Murder Resentencing hearing, your attorney can advocate with CDCR staff and/or the District Attorney to make a PC section 1170(d)(1) referral.

# Overview of Penal Code § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing

### What is Recall of Sentence and Resentencing?

California Penal Code ("PC") section 1170(d)(1) gives four law enforcement agencies the power to refer someone who is currently incarcerated in state or county custody back to the trial court for resentencing.

### Who Can Initiate Resentencing per PC § 1170(d)[1]?

Within 120 days of original sentencing:

Trial Court Judge
has the discretion to initiate
a Recall of Sentence and
Resentencing proceeding.

### People in custody

can request that the Trial Court Judge exercises this discretion via **letter** or **formal petition**. After 120 days:

Trial Court Judge
no longer has the
discretion to initiate a
Recall of Sentence and
Resentencing proceeding.

### **UNLESS:**

a **referral** to give the judge back this discretion is submitted to the trial court from any of the following:\*

- CDCR Secretary
- District Attorney
- Board of Parole Hearings
- Sheriff

These four referral agencies are the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR), the Board of Parole Hearings (BPH), the District Attorney (DA, of the county of

<sup>\*</sup>This referral can be submitted at any time after the original sentencing.

conviction), and the **County Sheriff** (if someone is serving their sentence in county custody instead of state prison).

These four agencies can send a referral letter to a trial court to give the judge the authority to recall the sentence of someone who is currently incarcerated to be resentenced "anew." This can happen at any time after the original sentencing.

The limits to the judge's power to resentence are:

- the new sentence must be "lawful"
- · credit must be given for time served
- the new sentence cannot be longer than the original sentence

The judge is encouraged by law to look at post-conviction factors, to consider the proportionality of sentencing, and to use their discretion in the "interests of justice."

### What does the Recall of Sentence and Resentencing law say?

"When a defendant subject to this section or subdivision (b) of Section 1168 has been sentenced to be imprisoned in the state prison or a county jail pursuant to subdivision (h) and has been committed to the custody of the secretary or the county correctional administrator, the court may, within 120 days of the date of commitment on its own motion, or at any time upon the recommendation of the secretary or the Board of Parole Hearings in the case of state prison inmates, the county correctional administrator in the case of county jail inmates, or the district attorney of the county in which the defendant was sentenced, recall the sentence and commitment previously ordered and resentence the defendant in the same manner as if he or she had not previously been sentenced, provided the new sentence, if any, is no greater than the initial sentence. The court resentencing under this subdivision shall apply the sentencing rules of the Judicial Council so as to eliminate disparity of sentences and to promote uniformity of sentencing. The court resentencing under this paragraph may reduce a defendant's term of imprisonment and modify the judgment, including a judgment entered after a plea agreement, if it is in the interest of justice. The court may consider postconviction factors, including, but not limited to, the inmate's disciplinary record and record of rehabilitation while incarcerated, evidence that reflects whether age, time served, and diminished physical condition, if any, have reduced the inmate's risk for future violence, and evidence that reflects that circumstances have changed since the inmate's original sentencing so that the inmate's continued incarceration is no longer in the interest of justice. Credit shall be given for time served."

Caifornia Penal Code section 1170(d)(1), as of Dec. 28, 2020.

### How long has PC § 1170(d)(1) existed and why is there such a buzz right now?

Since the late-1960s, there has been some version of the resentencing referral powers given to courts and CDCR. In 2018, Governor Brown signed into law a series of amendments that:

- Significantly expanded the funding that CDCR received to make these referrals
- Gave trial courts guidance into what post-conviction factors may be relevant to the resentencing decision (AB 1812)
- Empowered District Attorneys to make these resentencing referrals (AB 2942)

Even though the recall and resentencing law has existed for decades, it's only since June 2018 that CDCR started writing these letters with any frequency. Many courts are seeing these PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing referrals for the first time without a clear understanding of the law's procedure or what to do with a referral letter when it arrives.

### Is PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing mandatory or discretionary?

Unfortunately, PC section 1170(d)(1) does not establish a legal right to resentencing. This form of discretionary resentencing can be compared to the Governor's clemency power to "commute" your sentence to time served. Both PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing and commutations rely upon an executive's discretion to recommend someone for relief, at any time they choose.

When one of these four government agencies sends a referral letter to the trial court asking them to recall your sentence, that letter gives the judge the authority to resentence you. The trial court judge has broad discretion on how it will act upon an agency's recommendation to resentence you. The court could take months to schedule a hearing, the court could choose not to schedule a hearing, and the court could choose not to act upon a resentencing recommendation at the hearing if and when it is scheduled.

By law, CDCR, BPH, Sheriffs, and DAs are under no obligation to refer anyone. However, if someone is serving an "unlawful" sentence, there should be an appealable right to obtain a resentencing referral to get back into court. Because these PC section 1170(d)(1) referrals are new for many courts, some judges may believe they do not have to schedule resentencing hearings for the referral letters they are receiving. However, blanket denials of all resentencing referrals is an abuse of judicial discretion. And some of the "cohorts" that CDCR is using to recommend people for resentencing are based on case law which made some enhancements unlawful. That means that when a judge receives a PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing referral letter for a "sentencing discrepancy" from CDCR or another agency, the judge should schedule a hearing to correct the unlawful sentence and resentence someone to a lawful (and shorter) sentence. SB 1393 made 5-year enhancements discretionary, so judges are not required to "correct" a sentence that has 5-year enhancements for prior serious felonies because these enhancements are not "unlawful," per se.

### Is there a Petition for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?

Technically, no, a PC section 1170(d)(1) petition does not exist. PC section 1170(d)(1) creates the right for a trial court on its own motion to recall a sentence and resentence **within 120 days after sentencing**. Some attorneys and people filing their own requests succeed using formal "motions to reconsider" or less formal letters to ask judges to exercise this discretion to schedule a resentencing hearing. The California Judicial Council publishes court forms, and there is not an official form for PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing requests.

The reasons that a trial court may be motivated to resentence someone who has just been sentenced could include:

- New information not known to the trial court at the time of sentencing,
- Failure to properly calculate credits resulting in a sentencing error, and
- Recent policy reforms or case law that render the sentence in whole or in part either unlawful or unnecessary (see SB 1393 which made 5-year enhancements unnecessary).

After 120 days of your sentencing hearing, the court cannot schedule a PC section 1170(d) (1) resentencing proceeding unless they receive a referral from CDCR, BPH, the Sheriff, or the DA. That means that without one of these agencies' involvement, there is currently nothing that you or your attorney can file with the court or send to the judge after 120 days to initiate resentencing on your own. NOTE: PC § 1170(d)(1) resentencing is not the same as § 1170.18 (Realignment) or § 1170.95 (Felony Murder) resentencing, where an individual or attorney can petition the court directly.

### Do I need a lawyer to request PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?

**No. You do not need a lawyer to request a resentencing referral**. Because this is a newly utilized area of the law with an unclear process, some lawyers may be offering assistance with "filing petitions" for PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing with District Attorneys or CDCR in exchange for legal fees. However, there is no way to legally "petition" to get resentenced. Even if you are within 120 days after your sentencing hearing, you can only informally "request" that the court exercise its discretion to recall your sentence and resentence you.

You can use the available free resources to self-advocate, gather documentation of rehabilitation, and follow model template letters and model administrative forms to request consideration from various authorities.

You and your support network can appeal to CDCR, the Sheriff, and the District Attorney without paying an attorney. You should exercise caution when approaching a District Attorney's office to request a resentencing referral. Anything you say or communicate could be used against you later on by the DA in an attempt to show inconsistency or attack your readiness for parole in future hearings. Please carefully read the information in this Toolkit and consider reaching out to the Sentence Review Project to assist you with requests to DAs.

If you are already working with an attorney on appeals, habeas petitions, or preparation for the parole board, discuss PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing with your lawyer or reach out to the public defender's office to see if any self-advocacy strategies would interfere or work against other aspects of your case.

It can be wise to pursue many different paths to release at one time, so there should be a way to use the information in this Toolkit for your case even if you are also pursuing other forms of resentencing or release.

If you are referred for resentencing and the trial court decides to set a hearing, the judge will appoint either the Public Defender or an attorney from a pro bono bar panel to represent you in court proceedings at no cost to you.

### What are the eligibility criteria for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing referrals?

According to the California law in PC section 1170(d)(1), **anyone can be referred for resentencing**. Each agency that is authorized to make these discretionary resentencing referrals will come up with their own policies and criteria for whom they decide will be "eligible." While CDCR currently excludes people serving LWOP and Death sentences from their resentencing referrals in their Title 15 Emergency Regulations, these exclusions are not based in the Penal Code, and various District Attorneys have expressed interest in using PC 1170(d)(1) to resentence people with LWOP and Death sentences.

### CDCR's Eligibility Criteria for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing Referrals

CDCR created the Recall and Resentencing Referral Program ("RRRP") in 2018 to make PC section 1170(d)(1) referrals. CDCR's pilot program began in June 2018, with a set of temporary criteria. However, these temporary criteria were not always followed or consistently shared with correctional staff. As of December 2019, CDCR began to use the criteria set forth in their new Title 15 emergency regulations.

The criteria CDCR uses are different for each PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing cohort.

CDCR excludes the following people from Exceptional Conduct resentencing:

- people who have not demonstrated "sustained compliance with departmental regulations, rules, and requirements, as well as prolonged participation in rehabilitative programming"
- people who must register as a sex offender upon release
- people who have not yet served 10 years of their sentence or 50% of their sentence, whichever is shorter (in other words, to be considered, a person must have either served half of their sentence or 10 years, whichever is less)
- people who have been found guilty of a serious or violent rules violation within the past five years, or have an open violation pending
- people with a determinate sentence who have a release date within 18 months
- people with a determinate sentence who are eligible for parole consideration within 18 months or have already been considered for parole
- people with an indeterminate sentence (sentence up to life in prison) who are scheduled for a parole hearing within the next 18 months or have already had a parole hearing

CDCR excludes the following people from Change in Sentencing Law resentencing:

- People who have not yet served 5 years of their sentence or 50% of their sentence, whichever is shorter
- People who have been found guilty of a serious or violent rules violation within the past one year, or have an open violation pending
- · People with a determinate sentence who have a release date within 18 months
- People with a determinate sentence who are eligible for parole consideration within 18 months or have already been considered for parole

• People with an indeterminate sentence (sentence up to life in prison) who are scheduled for a parole hearing within the next 18 months or have already had a parole hearing

CDCR excludes the following people from Sentencing Error resentencing:

- · People with determinate sentences who are scheduled for release within six months.
- People whose sentences do not meet the standard of: "if their sentence, as reflected in the
  Abstract of Judgment, contradicts applicable sentencing laws at the time of their sentencing
  hearing or subsequent decisional history suggesting the substantial likelihood of a sentencing error."

CDCR tends to favor people with the following factors for resentencing referrals:

- Positive programming, or engaged involvement in programs
- Enrollment in Alcoholics Anonymous, Narcotics Anonymous, and/or Criminals & Gang Members Anonymous (as relevant to convictions and "risk factors")
- Facilitation or leadership roles in classes or groups
- Educational, California Prison Industrial Authority (CALPIA), vocational, or work review training
- · Employment preparation, evidenced by work placement chronos, certificates, and skills
- Laudatory (positive) chronos from program staff and correctional staff

CDCR is expected to hold a Public Comment period and public hearing in early 2021 to formalize the Title 15 emergency regulations on Penal Code section 1170(d)(1). We will be challenging these unfair exclusions and criteria listed above and we invite you to join us.

#### DAs' Eligibility Criteria for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing Referrals

AB 2942 (Ting) passed in 2018, adding District Attorneys to the agencies who can refer someone back to court for resentencing via PC section 1170(d)(1).

Many District Attorney offices have not formalized the criteria they plan to use for resentencing referrals. Each county may look to different factors and trends within their jurisdiction to develop eligibility criteria and policies.

Here's what For The People's Sentence Review Project, co-sponsor of AB 2942, has to say:

"Eligibility will depend on the policies established by each District Attorney office. The law allows prosecuting agencies to set their own criteria and determine the types of cases they will review. The Sentence Review Project is working with District Attorney offices to establish policies and procedures for reviewing and recommending cases.

There are no exclusions in AB 2942. A prosecuting agency can recommend a recall of sentence involving any type of offense, any type of sentence, and there are no requirements of time served. People serving a sentence outside of California are eligible, so long as they were prosecuted under California law and sentenced by a California court."

### Who is currently being recommended for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?

Each agency that is empowered by PC section 1170(d)(1) to make referrals will come up with their own ideas and policies for who they want to recommend for resentencing. This could be based upon the people in leadership, their constituents and political leanings, the agency's missions and goals, and the public feedback and pressure the agency receives. Eligibility will also depend upon what trial court judges are doing with these recommendations, and what case and post-conviction factors become legally relevant in these resentencing hearings over time.

PC section 1170(d)(1) states that resentencing judges may consider such factors as:

- a person's reduced risk of future violence
- the "interests of justice"
- "changed circumstances," in both the person's life and in society more generally, showing "continued incarceration is no longer in the interest of justice"

### Who is CDCR recommending for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?

As of December 2020, CDCR has used 13 "cohorts" (or groups of people with specific sentence enhancements or other criteria in common) to determine who to review and refer for resentencing.

These cohorts are subject to change, and CDCR officials in Sacramento have asked for people's help on the inside and outside to notify them of recent policy reforms and case law that could be developed into new cohorts. With SB 136 (Wiener) now law, for example, eliminating the mandatory 1-year enhancement for prior felonies that resulted in a prison or jail term, there could be a new "cohort" of people with 1-year enhancements that CDCR uses for potential referrals. As of January 2021, CDCR has still not used SB 136 to refer people back to court for resentencing. However, new legislation is being discussed that would make SB 136 retroactive in which case the 1-year prior enhancements would be removed from people's sentences automatically.

Here are the 13 "cohorts" that CDCR employs to identify people for referrals:

#### **Medical Cohorts**

COVID: After months of advocacy by Ella Baker Center for Human Rights, the Stop San Quentin Outbreak Coalition, and other ally organizations, in December 2020 CDCR began to make resentencing referrals for people using the designation "COVID". As of January 2021, 10 people have been referred back to court in this cohort. We will be monitoring this cohort and learning more about the criteria being used.

### **Rewarding In-Custody Behavior Cohorts**

Exceptional Conduct: Someone with an in-custody history of rehabilitation. The typical language in referral letter: "NAME has programmed exceptionally well during her/his incarceration and should be commended for her/his behavior and work ethic. NAME has taken full advantage of the opportunities provided to her/him in order to prepare for a successful transition back to society." Laudatory chronos and programming are especially helpful for this cohort, along with limited disciplinary actions on record.

Law Enforcement Referrals: People who assist in other criminal cases by giving testimony. These cases are different than people who have been referred by District

Attorneys for resentencing. Before AB 2942 passed, DAs previously made resentencing recommendations to CDCR instead of directly to the trial court.

### **Changes in Sentencing Law Cohorts**

CDCR uses Changes in Sentencing Law cohorts to recommend people via recent policy reforms and amendments to sentencing laws that are not retroactive.

Gun Enhancements made discretionary by **Senate Bill 620 (Bradford)**, amending Penal Code sections 12022.5, 12022.53, 1385, effective date: January 1, 2018; not retroactive. Judges now have the discretion to strike or dismiss an enhancement for the use of a firearm during the commission of a felony if doing so is in the "interests of justice." CDCR is starting with cases where the firearm was not discharged.

5-Year Prior Serious Felony Enhancements made discretionary by **Senate Bill 1393** (**Mitchell**), amending PC sections 667(a), 1385(b), effective date: January 1, 2019; not retroactive. Judges now have the direction to dismiss 5-year enhancements for prior serious felonies resulting in prison terms if doing so is in the "interests of justice."

3-Year Drug Enhancements repealed by **Senate Bill 180 (Mitchell)**, a law repealing the enhancement for prior drug-related felonies (Health and Safety Code § 11370.2), with the exception of prior convictions involving a minor (§ 11380), effective date: January 1, 2018; not retroactive.

### **Sentencing Discrepancy Cohorts**

CDCR is using the "Sentencing Discrepancy" cohorts to recommend people whose sentences are now unlawful due to recent court decisions which invalidated certain combinations of enhancements or other sentencing methods.

Great Bodily Injury Enhancements via People v. Cook (2015) 60 Cal. 4th 922. According to PC section 12022.7 (g), enhancements for "infliction of great bodily injury" (§ 12022.7(a-e)) cannot be applied to charges of murder, manslaughter, arson, or reckless burning. Also, enhancements from PC section 12022.7(a-d) cannot be applied to charges where "infliction of great bodily injury" is an element of the crime.

Great Bodily Injury Enhancements via People v. Gonzalez (2009) 178 Cal. App. 4th 1325, 1332. The Court cannot impose multiple enhancements for "infliction of great bodily injury" if they come from the same offense. They can only impose the longer of the two enhancements. In this case, the Court can't impose both a "great bodily injury" enhancement (§ 12022.7(a)) and a 10-year gang enhancement (§ 186.22(b)(1)(C)) because both come from inflicting great bodily injury on the same victim during a single offense.

Gun Enhancements via People v. Le (2015) 61 Cal. 4th 416, 429. The Court cannot apply both a firearm enhancement (§ 12022.5(a)(1)) and a serious felony gang enhancement (§ 186.22(b)(1)(B)) when the offense is a serious felony if the sole reason the judge is applying the enhancement is because the offense involves firearm use.

Gun Enhancements via People v. Rodriguez (2009) 47 Cal. App. 4th 501, 504-505, 509. The Court cannot impose both a firearm enhancement (§ 12022.5(a)) and a gang violent felony enhancement (§ 186.22(b)(1)(C)) if they both are based on the use of a firearm during a single offense. Only the greater of the two enhancements can be

imposed (§ 1170.1(g)). This happened due to a set of "chain reaction"-like circumstances, in which one enhancement affected another enhancement, that the court has now banned. Previously, the firearm enhancement made the crime violent, which thus allowed the Court to also impose the gang enhancement for violent felonies. Because of this case, the Court cannot apply both of these enhancements.

Alternative Sentences for Gang-Motivated Witness Dissuasion via People v. Lopez (2012) 208 Cal. App. 4th 1049. Seven years-to-life alternative sentences that result from attempts to dissuade witnesses (§ 136.1) that are motivated by gang activity (§ 186.22(b)(4)(G)) can only be applied if the defendant is convicted under felony dissuasion of a witness by use of explicit force or implicit threat of force (§ 136.1(c)(1)).

Child Pornography Possession Charges via People v. Manfredi (2008) 169 Cal. App. 4th 622. For child pornography convictions (§ 311.11(a)), multiple child pornography materials at the same location can only be used to charge for a single possession for child pornography even if the materials are stored in separate physical media.

Consecutive In-Prison Felony Terms via People v. McCart (1982) 32 Cal. 3rd 338. Penal Code section 1170.1(c) (formerly § 1170.1(b)) requires the calculation of a single term of imprisonment for all convictions of felonies committed in prison and sentenced consecutively. Subordinate terms for consecutive felony offenses consist of one-third of the middle base term.

### Who are District Attorneys recommending for PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing?

The first case of a District Attorney using their new PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing power came out of San Diego County in August 2019. There, prosecutors wrote a letter to the trial court recommending Kent Williams, a 57-year old man who was sentenced in 2003 to 50-years-to-life under the Three Strikes Law for burglary and car theft and had served 16 years. The San Diego DA cited the man's nonviolent criminal record and his work overcoming drug addiction in support of the resentencing referral.

There is a pilot program in Santa Clara County, out of a partnership between the Sentence Review Project and the Santa Clara District Attorney's office. This pilot is starting with a limited group of people who were charged with residential burglary and uses strict criteria that focus on nonviolence before and after incarceration. People will be contacted directly by these organizations if they are under review for a referral. The Sentence Review Project is also working with the DA offices in Contra Costa, Humboldt, Napa, Riverside, San Luis Obispo, Santa Cruz, and Yolo counties. If your case is in one of these counties, you can still approach the District Attorney's office without going through the Sentence Review Project's pilot program to request review, particularly if your case doesn't fall within the pilot's narrow criteria.

The San Joaquin District Attorney's Office set up a Post-Conviction Sentence Review Unit and has forms available online to apply for review. The San Francisco District Attorney's Office has referred dozens of people back to court since early 2020, and has focused on people serving the longest sentences who have been down for many years. The Alameda County District Attorney's Office began making resentencing referrals in October 2020, and is reviewing dozens of people for potential referrals.

George Gascon is the newly elected District Attorney in Los Angeles County and on the day of his inauguration on December 7, 2020, Gascon published a memo detailing the widespread reforms to sentencing and charging that he intends to implement. DA Gascon wishes to review

20,000-30,000 people's cases for potential PC 1170(d)(1) resentencing to correct sentences with enhancements (specifically: Three Strikes Sentences, and gun, gang, 5-year prior, 1-year prior, great bodily injury (GBI), and drug enhancements). Gascon's office is focused on prioritizing the following cases: people who are medically vulnerable to COVID-19, people over the age of 60, people who are criminalized survivors, people who have already served 15 years, and people 17 years old and younger at the time of the offense who were charged as adults. In addition, Gascon's office will be prioritizing resentencing people who were already referred by CDCR since 2018, and his office will be taking a second look at everyone referred whose CDCR referral letter was either ignored or denied in Los Angeles County while Jackie Lacey was the District Attorney.

In addition to the counties above, other District Attorney's offices are considering or may be in the process of setting up sentence review units to exercise their resentencing referral powers.

### What is the process for receiving a PC § 1170(d)(1) Resentencing referral?

At this time, of the four agencies that are authorized to make PC section 1170(d)(1) referrals, only CDCR has a formally established internal process.

#### **CDCR Referrals**

Per Title 15, CDCR completes its roughly 30-60 day review of a person and sends the draft referral letter to the Secretary who then has 10 days to decide whether to approve or deny the recommendation for someone's resentencing. CDCR then sends a referral letter to the trial court addressed to the original sentencing judge if they are still on the bench, or the presiding (head) judge if they are not. The letter is also sent to the District Attorney and Public Defender offices in that county, or to the attorney of record in the person's original sentencing. The incarcerated person should also receive a copy of the referral letter sent, it is added to the person's central file, so counselors may be able to assist with obtaining a copy of the letter for the person referred if they do not receive notice otherwise. No later than 10 days after either a referral letter is sent to the court and/or a hearing is set, the Office of Victims and Survivors Rights and Services will inform all victims of the decision and the proceeding.

Some CDCR referrals start at the facility level when correctional staff refer a person's name and CDC# via email to a contact in Sacramento (CDCR-DAI-1170-D-Recall-of-Sentence@cdcr. ca.gov). Some referrals start in Sacramento, where lists are generated of all potentially eligible people based on certain sentence enhancements, or due to errors in their sentencing. Referrals that start in Sacramento may involve facility staff during the review process. People are often interviewed by staff and sometimes investigated by the Investigations Services Unit prior to a letter being drafted and sent to the CDCR Secretary for approval. CDCR's 1170(d)(1) referral process is guided by Title 15 (CDCR's agency regulations). However, new draft regulations are expected by the end of 2019 to revise the process and criteria.

Here's a sample list of documents that CDCR generally will send in with a recommendation letter to the trial court, regardless of which cohort the person is being referred within:

- Abstract of Judgment
- Minute Order (an order from a judge that is made orally/recorded in the minutes taken by the court reporter)
- Court Information-Charging Documents (or "Information/Complaint")

- Cumulative Case History
- Other documents that may also be sent to the court with a referral letter are:
  - Frequently Asked Questions (Information about PC § 1170(d)(1) Referral Program)
  - DAPO Pre-Release services
  - RAC Attendance Summary
  - Inmate Assignment history
  - Rules Violation Report(s)

### How do I request a review for resentencing from one of the four agencies authorized to make these referrals?

### **Requesting Review from CDCR**

CDCR's official policy per the Departmental Operations Manual (DOM) is that it does not accept referrals from incarcerated people, lawyers, or their families, so if you send a request you could get a routine response stating that. However, a number of people have been successful at alerting their counselors and CDCR staff in Sacramento that they are eligible under a specific "cohort" (a group of people with similar enhancements or case factors). CDCR staff in Sacramento is compiling lists of names and requesting assistance in identifying all potentially eligible people. Each person's experience may differ. Some facility and Sacramento staff will take self-referrals from people who are currently incarcerated, some will take referrals from attorneys and/or loved ones, and some will not.

Here are some ideas for how to approach CDCR to request review for a resentencing referral:

### (1) Find a trusted staff person to refer you to the CDCR Secretary to be reviewed for a resentencing referral on the basis of your "exceptional conduct."

You can ask a program or correctional staff person to send a referral for you for the "exceptional conduct" resentencing cohort. Potential referrers include work supervisors, teachers, religious leaders, or block sergeants. The person referring you should use their CDCR email to send your name and CDC# to CDCR-DAI-1170-D-Recall-of-Sentence@cdcr.ca.gov. They can include a 1-2 page letter explaining why they are recommending you for resentencing and release.

The CDCR Recall and Resentence Recommendation Program (RRRP) only accepts and reviews exceptional conduct referrals from institutional staff via institutional (@cdcr.ca.gov) email addresses. The CDCR RRRP does not accept self-referrals or referrals for exceptional conduct from family members of people in prison, their friends, or attorneys at this time.

Here are some tips for securing a staff referral:

- Provide the staff member with some documents that show your strength as a
  resentencing referral candidate. This can include laudatory chronos and program
  certificates, a list of your program and work history, your post-release plans, support
  letters, and even a template/example letter of what they could write about you. You
  could also consider providing a copy of your parole packet or commutation application.
- It may be helpful to involve your counselor in this process and inform them of the staff referring you, but it is not required.
- Ask multiple people in case someone does not want to recommend you.

See the following resource in the **Appendix**: "Template Letter - CDCR Staff Referral for PC § 1170(d)(1) Exceptional Conduct Resentencing".

### (2) Notify CDCR Staff in Sacramento that you are eligible for a resentencing cohort.

Some staff in the Office of Legal Affairs have requested assistance in compiling lists of all of the potentially eligible people for resentencing referrals. You or your support network can draft a letter to send to Sacramento to mention your eligibility for an existing cohort and to make a persuasive case why you are a good candidate for a referral back to court.

See the following resources in the **Resource List**: "Template Letter - Requesting PC  $\S$  1170(d)(1) Review from CDCR (Policy Reforms)," and "Template Letter - Requesting PC  $\S$  1170(d)(1) Review from CDCR (Case Law)".

The Office of Legal Affairs has also requested assistance from lawyers and community organizations in planning new "cohorts" on the basis of recent case law, policy reforms, and other factors that could impact a large number of people.

#### (3) Submit a Form 602 Administrative Appeal to your counselor.

If it feels safe to do so, you can submit a Form 602 administrative appeal to your Counselor, notifying them that you are eligible for resentencing. Please be cautious if you fear retaliation or other staff misconduct or negative treatment.

Officially, 602 appeals are not being heard for "Exceptional Conduct" referrals, although we have heard of a few instances of people successfully using the 602 process to be deemed eligible for resentencing based on exceptional conduct.

How CDCR responds to your Form 602 Appeal may depend on your eligibility criteria:

For people appealing under the **Changes in Sentencing Law** (e.g. SB 1393) and the **In-Custody Behavior/Exceptional Conduct Cohort**, CDCR may deny your appeal because they do not have to consider and grant resentencing recommendation requests for these discretionary cohorts.

For people who received a sentence that is now unlawful (the **Sentencing Discrepancy Cohort**), resentencing should be mandatory, and there is a clear right to appeal for resentencing relief through the administrative appeals process (602 form).

If CDCR does any of the following in response to your Form 602, you can appeal:

- If CDCR says they have a policy of denying every request.
  - You can appeal and argue that a "blanket denial" is an "abuse of discretion"
- If CDCR delays and does not respond to your request.
- If you face discrimination or unlawful conduct while attempting your request.

Filing an appeal for any non-response or denial preserves the topic in the record in case you need to argue it again in court later. For more information about filling out administrative appeals forms, you can refer to the Prison Law Office's (PLO) Guide to Administrative Appeals, which should be made available in your prison law library. PLO's contact information and the **Blank 602 Administrative Appeals Form** is also listed in this Toolkit's **Resource List.** 

### Requesting Review from the District Attorney in Your County of Conviction

The process for review and referral varies widely by county for District Attorney referrals. Currently, it it fairly typical for deputy district attorneys who have focused on felony trials and felony-murder resentencing to be the point people for reviewing potential cases for resentencing referrals in their county and making recommendations to the head District Attorney. You can write to the DA office in your county of conviction to ask if there is a point person or a unit already set up, and to request intake forms and/or information on the county's process for review. We have included in the Appendix a list of District Attorney offices with their mailing information.

Approaching the District Attorney's office is something to do with caution because any statements you or your support network make in self-advocacy or communications could be used against you by the District Attorney's office to try to establish inconsistencies. These alleged inconsistencies could be used to undermine your credibility and alleged readiness for parole in future parole hearings or hurt your case in other ongoing proceedings.

You can use the advice in this Toolkit to think about what information to highlight and how to frame the case in order to minimize risks if you decide to reach out to the DA. If your criminal case is still on appeal and you are represented by an attorney, the California Rules of Professional Conduct prohibit the District Attorney from communicating directly with you.

Here is what For The People's Sentence Review Project advises:

"It is not recommended that you or your support network write to your District Attorney about your case. In an effort to explain why you believe your case warrants review, you may accidentally make a statement that could be viewed as inconsistent with your readiness for release. Even if you feel confident in your statements, anything written to the District Attorney can be raised at future parole hearings and possibly used against you. The Sentence Review Project is developing a template that you can use. This template will be made available once offices begin accepting requests. If you do contact your District Attorney, you should **limit the information you provide to questions contained in the attached intake form** from the Sentence Review Project."

Here is the limited scope of information that Sentence Review Project recommends providing to a District Attorney on their Intake Form:

- Name, Age, CDC#, Facility
- List of all convictions, including priors, and county(/ies) of conviction
- Age at the time of conviction and original sentence (list all enhancements)
- # of years already served
- Parole Status (parole eligibility date and/or upcoming hearing date)
- · List of all programming (certificates, degrees, classes, groups, etc.) and leadership roles
- Letters of recommendation and support (chronos, job & housing offers, family, friends)
- List of any serious Rules Violation Reports in last 5 years
- # of children and/or grandchildren
- Description of your support network and re-entry plan (housing, employment, family, relationships, etc.)

Here are some ideas for how to request resentencing from a District Attorney:

### (1) You can contact the Public Defender's Office

You can write to your Public Defender's office if they represented you in your case to request assistance with obtaining a resentencing referral from the District Attorney. You can share with the Public Defender the type of PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing you believe you are eligible for, and describe aspects of your sentence, your life, your time while incarcerated, and your role in your family and support network that could be helpful to make the case to the DA that you should receive a resentencing referral. If you were represented by a court-appointed attorney outside of the public defender's office, or a private attorney, you can also contact them about advising or assisting you with advocating with the District Attorney.

#### (2) You can directly contact the District Attorney's Office

You can directly contact the District Attorney of the county of your conviction.

With the recent passage of AB 2942, District Attorney offices are still coming on board to use their new PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing powers and may be receptive to strong arguments about why your case should be one of the first cases they consider for a referral in their county.

Some counties may be setting up a review process through their conviction integrity units or a new resentencing referrals unit. Your support network can call or search online to see if the District Attorney has developed a process and criteria for PC § 1170(d)(1) resentencing, and if there is a specific webpage or mailing address or intake forms for requesting review.

### (3) You can request a review or assistance from Sentence Review Project (SRP)

For The People's The Sentence Review Project has said they will support some requests for District Attorneys to recommend a lesser sentence. As of December 2020, SRP is reviewing cases via mail and in partnership with 10 counties. SRP is prioritizing people with excessive sentences who have already served 10 years in prison with in-custody records of rehabilitation and nonviolence. They are currently excluding most violent felonies, such as homicides and sex offenses. Information about For the People's Sentence Review Project can be accessed here: www.fortheppl.org/new-index. Their mailing address is 1904 Franklin St, Suite 205, Oakland CA, 94612, their phone number is 916-562-3212, and their email is <a href="mailto:info@fortheppl.org">info@fortheppl.org</a>. In terms of response time, please be advised that they are a small organization and receiving a lot of mail from across the state.

#### Requesting Review from the Board of Parole Hearings

The Board of Parole Hearings is also empowered to make these resentencing referrals, but as of mid-2019, BPH's position is that it defers to CDCR to select people for PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing review. Title 15 outlines that the Board of Parole Hearings is involved in CDCR's PC section 1170(d)(1) referral process to the extent that BPH provides a final level of approval for people with indeterminate sentences (that is, sentences that require parole review, such as a 15-to-life sentence) whom CDCR would like to refer back to court.

Given the record number of parole hearings that BPH is holding for the Prop 57 and Youth Offender groups, BPH could choose to begin to exercise their independent power to recommend people for resentencing. However, we aren't aware of any referrals or attempts by BPH to use their PC section 1170(d)(1) authority as of December 2020.

### Requesting Review from the County Correctional Administrator (likely the Sheriff's Office)

The Sheriff can also recommend people for PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing who are serving their sentences in jails. We don't know how various county Sheriffs are engaging the resentencing referral process or what criteria they could consider using. The Ella Baker Center for Human Rights has supported several dozens of individuals in this process by providing template letters, feedback, and support back in 2019. We either never heard back from Sheriffs' offices or received notices of denial from those offices. To the best of our knowledge, there has not been a systematic review of how or whether Sheriff offices are exercising their PC section 1170(d)(1) powers to refer people in county custody back to court for resentencing. Given the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and recent jail population reduction orders in California counties (including Orange County and Riverside County at the end of 2020), some Sheriffs may be more willing to use their PC 1170(d)(1) powers to refer people back to court than before.

### What will happen at the PC § 1170(d)(1) Recall of Sentence and Resentencing hearings?

Upon receiving a letter from CDCR or a District Attorney's office recommending someone for resentencing, the court will decide whether or not to schedule a hearing to decide the matter on the record. If the court decides to schedule a hearing, the court administrator will assign the case to a department, generally to the Presiding Judge if the original sentencing judge is no longer on the bench. A public defender or court-appointed attorney will be assigned to the case at no cost to you. A hearing will be set, typically with the matter titled something like "Further Proceedings" or "1170(D) PC PETN REVIEW," depending on the county.

### First Hearing: Recall of Sentence

At the first hearing, the judge will typically decide whether or not to "recall" your sentence. This is the first step in the PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing process, as a sentence must first be recalled in order for the judge to sentence "anew." There have been a handful of cases where the "recall" and the "resentencing" take place in the same hearing, but this is rare. We have seen in some counties that judges will "continue" or postpone hearings for weeks or months to have more time to understand the new law if they are not familiar with this area of resentencing. Since CDCR only began writing these resentencing referral letters in June 2018, many judges are still unaware of the process or their discretion under the law.

If the judge decides to recall the sentence, the court will then set a "resentencing" hearing and may ask both attorneys (the public defender/court-appointed attorney/private lawyer representing you, and the district attorney) to "brief" or put together arguments and research on certain issues for that next hearing. This could include various post-conviction factors in your case that the court will use in the "interests of justice" standard to decide whether and how to resentence you, such as:

- your "record of rehabilitation"
- your "risk to public safety upon release"
- your "post-release plans" including job and housing placement offers

Depending on the resentencing judge's familiarity with the law, the lawyers may also be asked to brief the PC section 1170(d)(1) recall and resentencing law and procedure more generally.

### Second Hearing: Resentencing

At the resentencing hearing, the court will sentence you "anew" which will involve a series of legal considerations, such as:

- "whether to impose one of the three authorized terms of imprisonment referred to in section 1170(b), or any enhancement and state on the record the reasons for imposing that term"
- whether to strike "any additional term of imprisonment provided for an enhancement charged and found"
- whether "the sentences will be consecutive or concurrent if the defendant has been convicted of multiple crimes"
- to "determine any issues raised by statutory prohibitions on the dual use of facts and statutory limitations on enhancements, as required in rules 4.420(c) and 4.447"
- to "pronounce the court's judgment and sentence, stating the terms thereof and giving reasons for those matters for which reasons are required by law"

(See Santa Clara District Attorney's Inquisitive Prosecutor's Guide, No. 39, New Resentencing Provisions of PC § 1170(d)(1) (October 31, 2018), page 6, citing California Rules of Court, Rule 4.433(c); see also Penal Code §§ 1170(b) and 1170.1.)

In determining whether resentencing you is in the "interests of justice," the court will look at post-conviction factors including but not limited to those outlined in PC section 1170(d)(1):

- "the inmate's disciplinary record and record of rehabilitation while incarcerated"
- "evidence that reflects whether age, time served, and diminished physical condition, if any, have reduced the inmate's risk for future violence"
- "evidence that reflects that circumstances have changed since the inmate's original sentencing so that . . . continued incarceration is no longer in the interest of justice"

If the judge decides to resentence you, the judge must award credit for time served and cannot give you a new sentence that is longer than your original sentence. You may be asked to waive some of your credits so the court can place you on a period of post-release supervision with Parole or Probation, although some people have been released without a supervision period.

### Will I be represented by an attorney for my Recall and Resentencing?

If you discover that you have been referred for resentencing, we recommend making contact with the court or the Public Defender in your county of conviction to make sure they are aware of your case and are preparing for your hearing. While a public defender may be assigned to your case, there is also the chance that they were not informed by CDCR or the courts about your resentencing referral, and they may not contact you prior to your first hearing. If you were represented by a court-appointed or private attorney instead of the Public Defender's office in your original sentencing, or in a more recent habeas petition, the referral letter should be sent to the attorney of record in your case. In a number of smaller counties in California, there is no Public Defender's office, and the court will appoint an attorney from a law firm contracted by the county to provide legal defense services or a pro bono bar panel. You can contact the court to figure out when your hearing is scheduled and who has been assigned to represent you.

It is typical - even during the COVID-19 pandemic - for people to be transported from CDCR to

county custody prior to a resentencing hearing, unless you work with your attorney prior to your first hearing to inform the judge that you are waiving your appearance. Your attorney can file a removal order with the trial court after a hearing is set to order CDCR to transport you to county custody in a manner that takes into consideration any medical accommodations you will need while being transported (for example, you have the right to travel with your prescriptions, and you may need a wheelchair-accessible van). If the jail cannot accommodate your medical needs and doesn't allow you to have your prescriptions or necessary accommodations, the removal order can request your return to CDCR custody after each hearing, arguing that your prolonged stay in county custody is detrimental to your health. Due to the overcrowded conditions in county jails and the health concerns of the COVID-19 pandemic, you may be quarantined upon arrival in county jail for two weeks prior to being transported to the court for a hearing.

### Will I got Out to Court ("OTC") for resentencing and be transferred back to County Jail?

It is typical that people are brought back to court for post-conviction hearings or "further proceedings" hearings like these. Given the potential drawbacks of going out to the court, it is your right to decide whether or not to waive your court appearance and to stay at the prison while your attorney argues your case in court.

There are various considerations for you to discuss with your support network and lawyer about whether or you not you will appear in court for resentencing, which include:

- During COVID-19, transfers between facilities can be detrimental to both your individual health and can pose a serious risk of outbreak to other incarcerated people and staff. County jails have stopped transfers to CDCR and many people who went out to court in early 2020 for either felony-murder (SB 1437) or PC 1170(d)(1) resentencing are still being held in county custody months later and may not be transferred back to CDCR any time soon. You should consider the risks to your health and a potentially prolonged stay in county custody when deciding whether to waive your appearance. In some counties, the court may have the technology to allow you to appear via video for your hearing while you remain in CDCR custody.
- Your appearance in court and testimony during your resentencing hearing(s) could make all the difference to a judge when they are deciding whether or not to resentence you. Appearing in court gives you the opportunity to give testimony on your behalf, it gives the judge the opportunity to hear from you about who you are as a person, your journey, your rehabilitation efforts, and your preparedness for release. Putting a face to a name can make the resentencing decision all the more "real" for the court. It is also a chance for your friends and family to show the court that you have people standing beside you and a support network for your reentry.
- Resentencing is held in the original courtroom in which you were sentenced, and will
  likely be heard by the same judge who originally sentenced you if they are still on the
  bench. It can be emotionally difficult to go back to the trial courtroom in the county of
  your conviction, particularly if your last experience was original sentencing.
- You can request to have witnesses testify. The victim(s) or their family may also testify.
- Although some cases have been resolved in just one hearing, the recall and resentencing process usually takes at least two court dates, and there can be months of delays.
- You may be able to request that CDCR maintains custody of you and transports you
  to court for each hearing if you can make a sufficient showing that your health would

- be endangered by transfer to county custody. This is typically a showing of medical necessity, for example the medical unit, if one exists, at the jail is not equipped to accommodate your medical needs, putting you at risk of severe health consequences.
- You will likely be forced to ship out your personal property and lose your prison cell bunk. You will likely lose your spot in programs and your work assignment. If your recall is denied, or you are resentenced to a shorter sentence but not to time served, you may be sent back without the property you had before.
- You will spend time in county jail with unfamiliar people and will likely be housed in a
  dorm-like setting with no or limited access to programs and medical accommodations.
   You could also be housed in solitary depending upon your classification, the facility's
  own policies, and the discretion of the correctional staff.
- You will temporarily lose your access to contact visits and "family visits" and you may
  have different phone call schedules and privileges. Phone calls are significantly more
  expensive in jails than in prison. At the same time, you may be geographically closer to
  family and friends while in county custody which could increase your face-to-face time
  via non-contact, and a number of jails have "video visits" that can be done remotely.

### If I am resentenced, will I be released directly from the court?

These resentencing hearings are decided on a case-by-case basis. You should be prepared for a number of scenarios that may occur when you are resentenced.

- One possibility is that the judge issues an order resentencing you and ordering your
  release directly from court. This would involve your attorney being in contact with CDCR
  prior to the resentencing hearing to calculate your credits and to arrange post-release
  supervision services. The court will also have to immediately send to CDCR Case Records the court's resentencing minute order to allow CDCR to release you from their
  custody same-day.
- Another scenario is that the judge resentences you to a new determinate sentence with time served and you are transferred back to CDCR custody for release and processing out through R&R (Receiving and Release).
- If you are resentenced to time served, the judge may decide to apply any time you already served in excess of the new sentence to your parole supervision period, and you may be released without any supervision or parole conditions. The judge can also condition your release on waiving some credits to allow for a period of parole supervision.
- Another scenario is that the judge resentences you to a new indeterminate sentence
  with an immediate parole eligibility date and you are transferred back to CDCR custody
  to await a parole hearing.
- Another scenario is that the judge reduces your sentence by some amount of time that
  doesn't require immediate release, and you are returned to CDCR custody with your
  parole date and/or release date moved up.

### What can I do to prepare for recall and resentencing?

You and your support network can do a number of things to prepare for you to both receive a resentencing referral and to be resentenced. Fortunately, all the steps you can take to prepare for a PC section 1170(d)(1) referral will also strengthen your preparedness for other forms of post-conviction relief and release, including:

· Applying or Reapplying for a Commutation from Governor Gavin Newsom

- Preparing for SB 1437 Felony Murder Resentencing
- Preparing for Parole Hearings
- Preparing for Filing a Writ of Habeas Corpus

These steps in preparation for resentencing can include:

- Keeping and verifying records of your programming, volunteering, certificates, correspondence courses, and other positive evidence of rehabilitation.
  - It is challenging to store all of your documents given personal property limitations and safety concerns. However, it will be helpful to have the evidence of your rehabilitation ready for review with your attorney, for advocating with various staff and agencies who can refer you for resentencing, and as potential exhibits for the judge to review if you are scheduled for a resentencing hearing.
  - Try to have your central file (C-file, aka SOMS and ERMS) as up-to-date as possible.
    - Check to see if you have documentation in your file that proves your
      participation in any trainings, workshops, classes, volunteering opportunities,
      and other events that can be used to show rehabilitation and/or good behavior.
    - You can request an "Olsen Review" once a year, where you can go through a
      digital copy of your C-File on a terminal and request certain pages printed out at
      a cost per page. If you are missing documentation, request that your counselor
      adds in chronos from your programming and participation in rehabilitation
      activities. If you need to, you can file a Form 602 administrative appeal.
    - Also, keep an eye out for anything in your C-file that was placed there by mistake and should be in someone else's file instead.
- Continuing program work that responds to your case and risk factors.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, programs are paused or shut down, no volunteers are coming in, and only limited assignments continue via mail for a few programs. You can supplement the lack of programming by doing some work on your own to show that you are gaining insight into your causative factors and working to rehabilitate yourself despite the shutdown. Here are some activities you can pursue on your own until programs resume:

- Prepare a Letter of Remorse to the victim(s) and/or survivor(s) of your case and their families and communitie. The letter should focus solely on taking accountability and expressing your remorse. Do not discuss the work you've done on yourself or how you're a better person now. This letter isn't about you it's about the harm caused and how nothing you can do can change the fact that the harm occurred.
- Read books relevant to your causative factors and history and write a 1-2 page Book
   Report for each book you complete that demonstrates the insight you gained.
- Complete Correspondence Courses that you or your support network can order online
  or by mail that supplement the programming you've done or can no longer access
  during COVID-19, and that fill in gaps of programs that CDCR doesn't offer at your
  facility that are relevant to your causative or case factors and your history of trauma.
- Preparing to show the judge that you are ready for release.
  - Prepare a Post-Release Plan or **Parole Plan**, listing your options for housing, jobs, transportation, and financial assistance in at least one California county.

- Prepare a Relapse Prevention Plan for various past addictions or "criminal thinking"
  patterns, including drug and alcohol use, domestic violence, and gang activity. Your plan
  can identify your "target behaviors," your triggers or warning signs, your coping skills,
  and the support network you will turn to when you experience specific triggers to avoid
  relapsing.
- Prepare for a potential **Parole Hearing**. Prepare to talk about your insights into your
  past actions and the harm caused to either victim(s) or society. Prepare to discuss how
  you take accountability and don't minimize your past actions. Prepare to discuss your
  realistic plans for returning to society and not posing a risk to public safety.
- Gather Letters of Support from your family and support network. The letters should
  contain specific language offering moral support, relapse prevention, financial
  assistance, job offers, housing placements, and other forms of support for getting you
  back on your feet upon release.

### Preparing for your potential release from either court or CDCR custody.

- You and your support network can prepare for the various scenarios of when and where you will be released if you are resentenced to time served.
- You can arrange with your support network to have transportation, a place to stay, and financial assistance available to you at the courthouse in case the judge orders CDCR and the county to immediately release you from custody at the Resentencing Hearing.

### If I took a plea agreement, will it impact my resentencing?

The language of Penal Code section 1170(d)(1) clearly states that people who accepted plea bargains are eligible for resentencing relief: "The court resentencing under this paragraph may reduce a defendant's term of imprisonment and modify the judgment, **including a judgment entered after a plea agreement**, if it is in the interest of justice."

However, we have seen a number of people referred back to court by CDCR and denied resentencing by judges solely because they took a plea agreement. This is likely happening in counties where judges tend to uphold convictions. The legal argument that is often cited by judges and district attorneys is that victims are entitled to the benefits of that bargain that was struck between the prosecutor and the person being sentenced and that this is part of the "interests of justice" analysis. However, the argument is very weak that judges lose their power to resentence under PC section 1170(d)(1) whenever the original sentence is the result of a plea agreement. There is a clear California Supreme Court case that speaks to this issue: Harris v. Superior Court (2016) 1 Cal.5th 984, which held that it is permissible for new laws to modify the terms of a plea agreement.

#### **Harris Waivers**

District Attorneys are reacting strongly to this California Supreme Court case by adding a "Harris Waiver" in some recent plea agreements. This waiver is in reference to a different "Harris" case decided three years earlier. In Doe v. Harris (2013) 57 Cal.4th 64, 74, the California Supreme Court held that "the terms of the plea agreement can be affected by changes in the law." Yet, the Court also mentioned it was not "impossible" for a plea agreement to include a condition that it would remain "fixed" regardless of future amendments to the law. Id. at 71.

Here is an example of what these "Harris Waivers" look like:

"It is agreed that any direct or collateral consequences of this plea will remain fixed as

of the date of the plea despite any future amendments to any relevant law, whether as a result of legislative change, judicial decision or otherwise. This agreement waives all future potential benefits of any legislative actions or judicial decisions or other changes in the law that may occur after the date of this plea, whether or not such future changes are specifically designed to provide pre- or post-conviction relief to any convicted defendants, and whether or not they are intended to be retroactive. This waiver expressly contemplates that the stipulated prison sentence of [ # of years ] shall remain fixed despite any future changes to legislation, judicial decisions, or otherwise."

These "Harris Waivers" attempt to waive resentencing rights that people should be legally entitled to. Historically, plea bargains are recognized by courts to be subject to change if the Legislature or voters amend state law. These waivers represent the danger of coercion (using force, threats, or undue pressure) in plea agreements, as many people are pressured into plea agreements by pretrial detention. These waivers also threaten the public interest if the intent of voters is being circumvented by legal fine print and evasive maneuvers.

### AB 1618 (Jones-Sawyer) Plea bargaining: benefits of later enactments

New legislation was passed and signed into law by Governor Newsom on October 8, 2019 (AB 1618 - Jones-Sawyer) making "Harris Waivers" void and unenforceable. The bill went into effect January 1, 2020. AB 1618 added section 1016.8 to the Penal Code to read, in part:

"(b) A provision of a plea bargain that requires a defendant to generally waive future benefits of legislative enactments, initiatives, appellate decisions, or other changes in the law that may retroactively apply after the date of the plea is void as against public policy."

## If the court decides not to schedule a hearing or the court decides at a hearing not to resentence me, can I appeal the decision?

There is a clear legal argument that judges should schedule a hearing upon receipt of a resentencing referral from law enforcement agencies who are tasked with determining someone's readiness for release. Yet many courts have simply ignored letters received from CDCR that recommend people for resentencing. And there have been a number of cases referred via CDCR's pilot program where judges declined to act on a resentencing recommendation.

To appeal these Recall and Resentencing denials, some lawyers have filed appeals and Motions to Reconsider. The standard of review to get a denial overturned is showing that the judge abused their discretion in either failing to schedule a recall hearing or declining to resentence the person that CDCR recommended. It may also be possible to file a Writ of Habeas Corpus challenging the judge's denial as a violation of your right to due process.

If you received a referral from CDCR and it was denied, contact your attorney and inquire as to what can be done to appeal the denial. If your case was denied in Los Angeles County, you can contact the California Appellate Project and DA George Gascon's office who have publicly stated their intention to give a second look to all previous CDCR referral letters that were either ignored or denied by the previous DA Jackie Lacey's office.

### **Next Steps**

### You can share your experience with us.

We want to hear from you about what is working for you in terms of self-advocacy and requesting review for resentencing. If you have been referred for PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing, you can share with us how your process has been going, request resources or information from us, and share what the outcome is at your hearings. We encourage you to send us your advice about aspects of this Toolkit that need changing or expanding.

# You can pursue other post-conviction relief options while waiting to see if you will be recommended for Recall and Resentencing via PC § 1170(d)(1).

While you are advocating for yourself to be reviewed for a resentencing referral or awaiting a court date, you can work on other avenues to release. These can include:

- Apply for Commutation of Sentence from Governor Gavin Newsom
  - or "Re-Apply" with the new Reapplication Form if you already applied for a commutation from Governor Brown in the last 3 years and the Newsom administration will re-open your previous application.
- Prepare for a suitability hearing in front of the Board of Parole Hearings. Work with a
  parole attorney to pursue any options that may be available to you to appeal either a
  delay in scheduling or the terms of your most recent denial.
- File a Writ of Habeas Corpus based on court decisions that could be used to show your sentence or parole denials are unlawful or disproportionate, and that your continued incarceration during the COVID-19 pandemic is cruel and unusual punishment.

### You and your support network can get involved with the Ella Baker Center for Human Rights.

We work locally, statewide in California, and nationally to shift resources away from prisons and punishment and towards opportunities that make our communities safe, healthy, and strong. We believe that what you water grows. That's why we mobilize everyday people to build power and prosperity in our communities. We win policies that reduce sentences, remove barriers, and restore opportunities. We advocate for legislation that abolishes abusive practices in prisons and jails, strengthens family connections, ends the economic burdens placed on people by mass incarceration, and reinvests in communities.

Please write to us to get on our mailing list and to stay informed of current campaigns and bills:

**Ella Baker Center for Human Rights** 

1419 34th Ave., Suite 202
Oakland, CA 94601
www.ellabakercenter.org
policy@ellabakercenter.org

### **Contacts List**

### CDCR Staff in Sacramento Working on PC § 1170(d)(1) Referrals

### Eric Duesdieker, Staff Counsel, Office of Legal Affairs

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P. O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283 Eric.Duesdieker@cdcr.ca.gov

Role: contact for 1170(d)(1) Recall and Resentencing Referral Program (RRRP), head of the Changes in Sentencing Law (policy reforms) cohorts

### Tara Rios, Correctional Case Records Administrator, Case Records Services

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P. O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283
Tara.Rios@cdcr.ca.gov

Role: contact for 1170(d)(1) RRRP, head of the Sentencing Discrepancies cohorts

### Brian Moak, Captain, Classification Services Unit

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P. O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283 Brian.Moak@cdcr.ca.gov

Role: contact for 1170(d)(1) RRRP, head of the Exceptional Conduct cohort

### Kathleen Allison, Secretary of Operations, Division of Adult Institutions

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P. O. Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283 Kathleen.Allison@cdcr.ca.gov

Role: final level of approval on all 1170(d)(1) RRRP letters before mailed to trial courts

### Howard Moseley, Chief Deputy General, Office of the Secretary

California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation P.O.Box 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283 Howard.Moseley@cdcr.ca.gov

### **District Attorney Sentence Review Units**

The San Joaquin County District Attorney has a unit that is reviewing cases on a rolling basis. You can have someone from your support network print the AB 2942 intake form at https://www.sjgov.org/da/pcru or contact Conviction.Integrity@sjcda.org with questions. You can also write to San Joaquin County DA, ATTN: Post-Conviction Sentence Review Unit, 222 E Weber Ave # 202, PO Box 990, Stockton, CA 95202.

For all other counties, refer to the **List of District Attorney Office Contact Information by County** in the **Appendix**.

### Organization Assisting with "Exceptional Conduct" Resentencing

#### **Stanford's Three Strikes Clinic**

Mills Legal Clinic at Stanford Law School
559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, California 94305-8610
Phone: (650) 736-7757; Michael Romano (<u>mromano@stanford.edu</u>); Susan Champion (schampion@law.stanford.edu); Milena Blake (milenab@stanford.edu)

### **Appellate Projects in California**

### First District Appellate Project - FDAP

475 14th Street, Suite 650, Oakland, CA 94612 (415) 495-3119 <a href="http://www.fdap.org">http://www.fdap.org</a>

**Serving First District Counties**: Alameda, Contra Costa, Del Norte, Humboldt, Lake, Marin, Mendocino, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Solano, and Sonoma.

### California Appellate Project Los Angeles - CAPLA

520 S. Grand Avenue, 4th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (213) 243-0300 <a href="http://cap-la.org">http://cap-la.org</a>

**Serving Second District Counties**: (Division 6) San Luis Obispo, Santa Barbara, and Ventura Counties; and (Divisions 1 - 5, 7 & 8) Los Angeles County.

### Central California Appellate Program - CCAP

2150 River Plaza Dr., Suite 300, Sacramento, CA 95833 (916) 441-3792 <a href="http://www.capcentral.org">http://www.capcentral.org</a>

**Serving Third District Counties**: Alpine, Amador, Butte, Calaveras, Colusa, El Dorado, Glenn, Lassen, Modoc, Mono, Nevada, Placer, Plumas, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Shasta, Sierra, Siskiyou, Sutter, Tehama, Trinity, Yolo, and Yuba.

**and Fifth District Counties**: Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Mariposa, Merced, Stanislaus, Tulare, and Tuolumne.

### Appellate Defenders, Inc. - ADI

555 West Beech Street, Suite 300, San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 696-0282 <a href="http://www.adi-sandiego.com">http://www.adi-sandiego.com</a>

**Serving Fourth District Counties**: (Division 1) San Diego and Imperial; (Division 2) Inyo, Riverside, San Bernardino; and (Division 3) Orange

### Sixth District Appellate Program - SDAP

95 S. Market St., Suite 570, San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 241-6171 <a href="http://www.sdap.org">http://www.sdap.org</a>

Serving Sixth District Counties: Monterey, San Benito, Santa Clara, and Santa Cruz.

# **Resource List**

Please write to the Ella Baker Center, 1419 34th Ave., Suite 202, Oakland, CA 94601, or policy@ellabakercenter.org to request any of the following documents:

#### **Relevant Laws and Regulations**

- TEXT of Title 15 Emergency Regulations regarding PC § 1170(d)(1) (sections 3076 et seq.)
- TEXT of SB 1393 (amending PC §§ 667, 1385)
- TEXT of PC § 1192.7(c), PC § 1192.8 & 667.5(c) (defining prior serious felonies)

#### Templates and Information on PC 1170(d)(1) Resentencing

- TEMPLATE LETTER: Requesting Review from CDCR (Case Law) OR (Policy Reforms)
- Inquisitive Prosecutor's Guide, No. 39, New Resentencing Provisions of PC § 1170(d)(1) (October 31, 2018) Santa Clara County District Attorney's Office
- Recall and Resentencing Referral Program Statistics CDCR
- Staff Memo on PC § 1170(d)(1) Referrals (November 5, 2018) CDCR
- Sample of Resentencing Referral Letters Sent to Court (redacted) CDCR

#### **General Self-Advocacy Resources**

- Ella Baker Center List of Legal Resources in California
- First District Appellate Project Retroactivity-Finality Cheat Sheet
- Blank 602 CDC Form (602-Att. -HC) (revised in 2020)
- Forms for the Governor: Commutation Application or Re-Application, Notice of Intent to Apply for Clemency (to send to DA), CDC 7385 Release of Medical Information

We also recommend these resources available from other organizations:

Guide to Commutations

Contact: California Coalition for Women Prisoners, 4400 Market St., Oakland, CA 94608 <a href="https://womenprisoners.org/">https://womenprisoners.org/</a>, <a href="mailto:info@womenprisoners.org">info@womenprisoners.org</a>, <a href="mailto:info@womenprisoners.org</a>, <a href="mailto:info@wom

Resentencing & Reentry Support Documents (How-To Guide)

Contact: Sentence Review Project, 1904 Franklin St, Suite 205, Oakland, CA 94612 916-562-3212, info@fortheppl.org. Available online: https://www.fortheppl.org/new-index

 Guide to Filing CDCR Administrative Appeals; Guide to Writs of Habeas Corpus; and The California Prison and Parole Handbook

Contact: Prison Law Office, General Delivery, San Quentin, CA 94964 Available online: <a href="https://prisonlaw.com/resources/">https://prisonlaw.com/resources/</a>

 How to Write a Relapse Prevention Plan; How to Write a Remorse Letter; and Book Report Guide + Suggested Book List

Contact: UnCommon Law, 220 4th Street, Suite 103, Oakland, CA 94607 Available online: https://www.uncommonlaw.org/resources

# **Appendix**

- Getting Involved with Public Comment on CDCR's Emergency Regulations for PC § 1170(d)(1) in Early 2021
- CDCR Memo on PC 1170(d)(1) Recall and Resentencing Recommendation Program (December 2019)
- List of Public Defender Office Contact Information by County
- List of District Attorney Office Contact Information by County
- TEMPLATE LETTER: CDCR Staff Referral for PC § 1170(d)(1) Exceptional Conduct Resentencing

# Public Comment for Resentencing Regulations

You and your support network can get involved and make your voices heard when CDCR holds Public Comment on the Title 15 Emergency Regulations for the Recall and Resentencing Referral Program in 2021.

PC section 1170(d)(1) represents an important safety net of relief for people who fall through the cracks of other reforms and paths to post-conviction relief.

CDCR submitted new Title 15 emergency regulations (currently listed as California Code of Regulations, Title 15, sections 3076, 3076.1, and 3076.2) that were approved in December 2019. These now guide the process and criteria they use for making PC section 1170(d)(1) resentencing referrals. Emergency regulations are not subject to Public Comment prior to being implemented, but there must be a hearing before the emergency regulations become formalized.

We anticipate a Public Comment process and hearing in the beginning of 2021. Public Comment is a 45-day window when CDCR accepts and responds to feedback on its proposed rules. You and your support network can get involved by contributing to Public Comment once the Draft Regulations are published.

During Public Comment, you can submit feedback to CDCR's Regulations and Policy Management Branch by either email or mail to:

- RPMB@cdcr.ca.gov
- CDCR RPMB, PO BOX 942883, Sacramento, CA 94283-001

CDCR's pilot program for resentencing referrals starting back in June 2018 temporarily used strict criteria that were formalized in the new emergency regulations. Because of the broad discretion given to both CDCR and resentencing judges, there are a number of ways to guard against the release of someone who may be considered a risk to public safety. There is no need for blanket exclusions targeting politically vulnerable groups.

You can share your support for keeping the referral process open and not unjustly denying people a chance at resentencing based on various proposed exclusions or harsh criteria. If you have ideas for a new cohort that CDCR should use to review people for resentencing, you or your support network can also share these proposals in the Public Comment process.

We are all more than our worst day, and it is important for CDCR staff and the judge to have the opportunity to look at the person standing before them today.

We will fight against people being left behind by broad exclusions. We ask you to join us.

To:

## Memorandum

Date: December 19, 2019

Associate Directors, Division of Adult Institutions

Wardens

Classification and Parole Representatives Correctional Case Records Managers

Subject: REVISED CALIFORNIA PENAL CODE SECTION 1170(D)(1) RECALL OF COMMITMENT PROCESSES

This memorandum is to announce newly adopted regulations, criteria and policies relating to the referral of inmates for Recall of Sentence and Resentencing. This memorandum amends the previous memorandum dated November 5, 2018, titled, California Penal Code, Section 1170(d)(1) Recall of Commitment Processes.

The California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) has established a process for identifying and referring inmates for Recall of Sentence and Resentencing, pursuant to Penal Code (PC) Section 1170(d)(1). The Secretary of CDCR may, at any time, recommend that the Sentencing Court recall a previously imposed sentence and resentence an inmate, provided the new sentence is no greater than the initial sentence. The provisions of this article do not apply to condemned inmates and inmates sentenced to life without the possibility of parole.

The Classification Services Unit (CSU), Division of Adult Institutions (DAI), Recall and Resentencing Recommendation Program (RRRP), identifies inmates for potential referral to the Sentencing Court based upon Exceptional Conduct and Changes in Sentencing Law.

#### **EXCEPTIONAL CONDUCT**

Recommendations for Exceptional Conduct may be considered for referral pursuant to the California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 15, Section 3076.1(a)(1), if an inmate's behavior, while incarcerated, demonstrates sustained compliance with departmental rules, regulations, and policies as well as documented evidence of prolonged participation in Self-Help, Vocational, and Educational Programming.

Pursuant to CCR, Title 15, Section 3076.1(2), inmates who meet the following criteria shall be <u>excluded</u> from consideration under this section:

- Required to register pursuant to PC Section 290
- Have served less than ten continuous years or 50 percent of their current commitment date in CDCR, whichever comes first
- Have been found guilty of a serious or violent Rules Violation Report (RVR) which qualifies as a Division A-1, A-2, B, C, or D offense as described in CCR, Title 15, Section 3323(b) through (e) within the last five years or whose serious or violent RVR as described above is pending

Associate Directors, Division of Adult Institutions Wardens Classification and Parole Representatives Correctional Case Records Managers Page 2

- Determinate Sentences scheduled for release within the next 18 months
- Determinate Sentences eligible for parole consideration [Youthful Parole Eligibility Date (YPED), Elderly Parole Eligibility Date (EPED), and Non-Violent Second Striker (NVSS)], within the next 18 months or have already been afforded parole consideration
- Indeterminate Sentences scheduled for a Parole Suitability Hearing within the next 18
  months or have already been afforded a Parole Suitability Hearing, regardless of the
  decision by the Board of Parole Hearings (BPH)

Institutional staff and volunteers may elect to refer an inmate for Exceptional Conduct by following Local Operational Procedures. CSU-RRRP will only accept Exceptional Conduct referrals from Wardens that are generated through departmental email to <a href="mailto:CDCR-DAI-1170-D-Recall-of-Sentence@cdcr.ca.gov">CDCR-DAI-1170-D-Recall-of-Sentence@cdcr.ca.gov</a>. CSU-RRRP does not accept referrals from defense attorneys, inmates, inmate family members, private citizens, or special groups.

Exceptional Conduct referral emails shall only include the inmate's name and CDCR Number.

<u>Note</u>: Do not send any attachments or supporting documentation with the referral, as CSU-RRRP does not retain them. Ensure that any information recommending an inmate is submitted to your institution's Case Records Office for scanning into the inmate's file within the Electronic Records Management System (ERMS) database, for future reference.

#### CHANGE IN SENTENCING LAW

Effective January 1, 2018, PC 12022.53(h) was revised. This section previously required a sentencing court to impose enhancements for personal use of a firearm in the commission of enumerated felonies. Courts are now empowered with the discretion to strike or dismiss a personal use firearm enhancement at sentencing or resentencing, pursuant to PC Section 1170(d) in the interest of justice.

Pursuant to CCR, Title 15, Section 3076.1(e) inmates who meet the following criteria shall be excluded from consideration under this section:

- Have not yet served five continuous years or 50 percent of their current commitment, whichever comes first
- Have been found guilty of a serious or violent RVR which qualifies as a Division A-1, A-2, B, or C offense as described in CCR, Title 15, Section 3323(b)-(e) within the last one year or whose serious or violent RVR as described above is pending
- Determinate Sentences scheduled for release within the next 18 months

Associate Directors, Division of Adult Institutions Wardens Classification and Parole Representatives Correctional Case Records Managers Page 3

- Determinate Sentences eligible for parole consideration (YPED, EPED, NVSS) within the next 18 months or have been already afforded parole consideration
- Indeterminate Sentences scheduled for a parole suitability hearing within the next 18 months or have already been afforded a parole suitability hearing, regardless of the decision by BPH

Inmates are screened for eligibility solely utilizing a list generated by the Office of Research, based upon qualifying offenses. Therefore, referrals from defense attorneys, institutional staff, volunteers, inmates, inmate family members, private citizens or special groups, will not be accepted.

### PROCESSING OF EXCEPTIONAL CONDUCT REFERRALS/CHANGE IN SENTENCING LAW CASES

If an inmate meets the criteria for Exceptional Conduct or change in Sentencing Law, CSU-RRRP shall review all case factors. If an inmate is found eligible, CSU-RRRP shall prepare a Cumulative Case Summary (CCS) and Evaluation Report to be reviewed by both the Director of DAI and the Secretary of CDCR.

Institutional staff, including the Investigative Services Unit, shall be contacted for Exceptional Conduct Referrals only. This is required in order to ascertain additional information about the inmate's institutional behavior and to ensure the inmate is not currently the subject of an investigation. The names of contacted staff will not be included in any documentation.

If an inmate is found ineligible, CSU-RRRP shall generate a General Chrono (CDCR Form 128-B) documenting the reason or reasons for ineligibility. A copy of the CDCR Form 128-B shall be provided to the inmate through institutional mail and another copy shall be scanned into the inmate's file within the ERMS database under the General Chrono's Section.

#### SECRETARY'S DECISION

In the event the Secretary elects to make a referral, a letter and CCS shall be forwarded to the Sentencing Court. Copies of these documents shall be provided to the inmate through institutional mail, and another copy shall be scanned into the inmate's file within the ERMS database under the Miscellaneous Section.

If the Secretary elects to take no action, CSU-RRRP will generate a CDCR Form 128-B informing the inmate. Copies shall be provided to the inmate through institutional mail and another copy shall be scanned into the inmate's file within the ERMS database under the General Chrono and Miscellaneous Sections.

Associate Directors, Division of Adult Institutions Wardens Classification and Parole Representatives Correctional Case Records Managers Page 4

Pursuant to the broad discretion vested in the Secretary of CDCR by statute, namely PC Section 1170(d)(1), the Secretary's decision is final and not subject to any Administrative Review.

#### **COURT ACTION**

The court may reduce an inmate's term of imprisonment by modifying the judgement, including a judgement entered after a plea agreement, if it is in the interest of justice. The court is not obligated, nor required to respond to CDCR's Letter of Referral and/or bring about any court action based upon the referral. In most instances, the court or Public Defender's Office will notify the inmate if their case is being considered for resentencing.

If you have any questions, please contact Mike Masters, Correctional Captain, CSU, at CDCR-DAI-1170-D-Recall-of-Sentence@cdcr.gov.

**CONNIE GIPSON** 

Director

Division of Adult Institutions

#### Attachment

cc: Kimberly Seibel
Jennifer Barretto
Joseph Moss
Jennifer Neill
Howard Mosley
Chris MacDonald
Heidi Dixon
Tara Rios
Eric Duesdieker

## Public Defenders in all California Counties

Note: Some counties do not have their own public defender offices. For those counties information is provided for superior courts or the law office contracted by the county to provide public defense services.

Alameda	Alameda County Public Defender 1401 Lakeside Drive, Suite 400, Oakland, CA 94612-4305	
Alpine	Superior Court of Alpine County 14777 CA-89, P.O. Box 518, Markleeville, CA 96120	
Amador	Contract Public Defender: Ciummo Law - Amador Office 201 Clinton Road, Suite 202, Jackson, CA 95642	
	Amador County Superior Court 500 Argonaut Lane, Jackson, California, 95642	
Butte	Butte County Superior Court - Criminal Department 1 Court Street, Oroville, CA 95965	
Calaveras	Contract Public Defender: Ciummo Law - Calaveras Office 265 West St. Charles Street, Ste. 4, San Andreas, CA 95249	
	Calaveras County Superior Court 400 Government Center Drive, San Andreas, CA 95249-9794	
Colusa	Colusa County Superior Court 532 Oak Street, Colusa, CA 95932	
Contra Costa	Contra Costa County Public Defender - Main Branch 800 Ferry Street, Martinez, CA 94553	
Del Norte	Del Norte County Superior Court 450 H Street, Room 209, Crescent City, CA 95531	
El Dorado	El Dorado County Public Defender 3976 Durock Rd, Suite 104, Shingle Springs, CA 95682	
Fresno	Fresno County Public Defender 220 Tulare Street, Suite 300, Fresno, California 93721	
Glenn	Glenn County Superior Court 526 W Sycamore St # B, Willows, CA 95988	
Humboldt	Humboldt County Public Defender 1001 Fourth Street, Eureka, CA 95501	
Imperial	Imperial County Public Defender 895 Broadway, El Centro, California 92243	

Inyo	Contract Public Defender: Law Office of Kristine L. Eisler 308 W Line St Ste 5, Bishop, CA 93514  Inyo County Superior Courts - Criminal Division 168 North Edwards, Independence, CA 93526	
Kern	Kern County Public Defender 1315 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301	
Kings	Kings County Superior Court 1640 Kings County Dr, Hanford, CA 93230  Public Defense Coordinator Marianne Gilbert 4125 W Noble Ave #199, Visalia, CA 93277	
Lake	Lake County Superior Court 255 N. Forbes Street, 4th Floor, Lakeport, CA 95453	
Lassen	Lassen County Public Defender 2950 Riverside Dr. Suite 103, 96130 Susanville, CA	
Los Angeles	Los Angeles County Public Defender - Main Branch 210 West Temple Street, 19-513 CSF, Los Angeles, CA 90012	
Madera	Contract: Ciummo Law - Madera Public Defender Office 221 North I Street, Madera, California 93637 Madera County Superior Court 200 South "G" Street, Madera, CA 93637	
Marin	Marin County Public Defender 3501 Civic Center Drive, Suite 139, San Rafael, CA 94903	
Mariposa	Mariposa Superior Court - Main Courthouse 5088 Bullion Street, P.O. Box 28, Mariposa, California 95338	
Mendocino	Mendocino County Public Defender 175 S. School Street, Ukiah, CA 95482	
Merced	Merced County Public Defender 2150 M Street, Merced, CA 95340	
Modoc	Modoc County Superior Court 205 S East St, Alturas, CA 96101	
Mono	Mono County Superior Court 100 Thompsons Way, P.O. Box 1037, Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546	
Monterey	Monterey County Public Defender 168 West Alisal, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor, Salinas, CA 93901	

Napa	Napa County Public Defender 1127 First Street, Suite B, Napa, CA 94559	
Nevada	Nevada County Public Defender 109 North Pine Street, Nevada City, CA 95959	
Orange	Orange County Public Defender 14 Civic Center Plaza, Santa Ana, CA 92701	
Placer	Contract Public Defender: Koukol & Associates 3785 Placer Corporate Drive, Suite 550, Rocklin, CA 95765	
	Placer County Superior Court - Criminal Division 10820 Justice Center Drive, Roseville CA 95678	
Plumas	Plumas County Superior Court 520 Main St. #104, Quincy, CA 95971	
Riverside	Riverside County Public Defender 4075 Main St. Suite 100, Riverside, CA 92501	
Sacramento	Sacramento County Public Defender - Criminal Division 700 H Street, Suite 0270, Sacramento, CA 95814	
San Benito	San Benito Superior Court 50 Fourth Street, Hollister, CA 95023	
San Bernardino	San Bernardino County Public Defender - Administration 172 West 3rd Street, 2nd Floor, San Bernardino, CA 92415-0008	
San Diego	San Diego County Public Defender - Administrative Office 450 B Street, Suite 1100, San Diego, California 92101	
San Francisco	San Francisco Public Defender's Office 555 7th Street, San Francisco, CA 94103	
San Joaquin	San Joaquin County Public Defender 102 South San Joaquin Street, Stockton, CA 95202	
San Luis Obispo	Contract Public Defender: San Luis Obispo Defenders 991 Osos Street, Suite A, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	
	San Luis Obispo Superior Court - Criminal Division 1050 Monterey Street, Room 220, San Luis Obispo, CA, 93408	
San Mateo	San Mateo County Bar Association Private Defender Program 333 Bradford St #200, Redwood City, CA 94063	
Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara County Public Defender - Court House Office 1100 Anacapa Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101	
Santa Clara	Santa Clara County Public Defender - Main Office 120 W. Mission St. San Jose, CA 95110	

Santa Cruz	Santa Cruz Superior Court - Criminal Division 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
	Contract Public Defender: Biggam, Christensen and Minsloff 2103 North Pacific Avenue, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Shasta	Shasta County Public Defender 1815 Yuba St., Redding, CA 96001
Sierra	Sierra County Superior Court - Criminal Division 100 Courthouse Square, Downieville, CA 95936
Siskiyou	Siskiyou County Public Defender 322 1/2 West Center Street, Yreka, CA 96097
Solano	Solano County Public Defender - Main Office 675 Texas Street, Suite 3500, Fairfield, CA 94533
Sonoma	Sonoma County Public Defender 600 Administration Drive, 1st Floor Room 111, Santa Rosa, CA 95403
Stanislaus	Stanislaus County Public Defender 1021 I Street, #201, P.O. Box 3428, Modesto, CA 95353
Sutter	Sutter County Public Defender 604 B Street Suite 1, Yuba City, CA 95991
Tehama	Tehama County Superior Court - Criminal Division 1740 Walnut Street, Red Bluff, CA 96080
Trinity	Trinity County Superior Court - Main Courthouse 11 Court Street, Weaverville, CA 96093
Tulare	Tulare County Public Defender Visalia Courthouse RM G35, 221 South Mooney Blvd, Visalia, CA 93291
Tuolumne	Tuolumne County Superior Court 99 N. Washington St., Sonora, CA 95370
Ventura	Ventura County Public Defender Hall of Justice, 800 S. Victoria Avenue, Room # 207, Ventura, CA 93009
Yolo	Yolo County Public Defender 814 North Street, Woodland, California 95695
Yuba	Yuba County Superior Court 215 Fifth Street, Suite 200, Marysville, CA 95901

# District Attorneys in all California Counties

County	District Attorney	Mailing Address
Alameda	Nancy O'Malley	1225 Fallon Street, Room 900, Oakland, CA 94612
Alpine	Michael Atwell	P.O. Box 248, Markleeville, CA 96210
Amador	Todd Riebe	708 Court Street #202, Jackson, CA 95642
Butte	Michael Ramsey	Administration Building, 25 County Center Drive, Oroville, CA 95965
Calaveras	Barbara Yook	891 Mountain Ranch Road, San Andreas, CA 95249
Colusa	Matthew Beauchamp	346 5th Street, Suite 101, Colusa, CA 95932
Contra Costa	Diana Becton	900 Ward Street, Martinez, CA 94553
Del Norte	Katherine Micks	450 H Street, Room 171, Crescent City, CA 95531
El Dorado	Vernon Pierson	778 Pacific Street, Placerville, CA 95667
Fresno	Lisa Smittcamp	2220 Tulare Street, Suite 1000, Fresno, CA 93721
Glenn	Dwayne Stewart	P.O. Box 430, Willows, CA 95988
Humboldt	Maggie Fleming	825 5th Street, Eureka, CA 95501
Imperial	Gilbert Otero	940 West Main Street, Suite 102, El Centro, CA 92243
Inyo	Thomas Hardy	168 North Edwards, Independence, CA 93526
Kern	Cynthia Zimmer	1215 Truxtun Avenue, Bakersfield, CA 93301
Kings	Keith Fagundes	1400 West Lacey Blvd, Hanford, CA 93230
Lake	Susan Krones	225 N. Forbes Street, Lakeport, CA 95453
Lassen	Susan Melyssah Rios	2950 Riverside Dr, Suite 102, Susanville, CA 96130
Los Angeles	George Gascon	211 W. Temple Street, Suite 1200, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Madera	Sally Moreno	209 West Yosemite Avenue, Madera, CA 93637
Marin	Lori Frugoli	3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 130, San Rafael, CA 94903
Mariposa	Walter Wall	P.O. Box 730, Mariposa, CA 95338
Mendocino	David Eyster	P.O. Box 1000, Ukiah, CA 95482
Merced	Kimberly Lewis	550 West Main Street, Merced, CA 95340
Modoc	Cynthia Campbell	204 S. Court Street, Room 202, Alturas, CA 96101
Mono	Tim Kendall	P.O. Box 2053, Mammoth Lakes, CA 93546
Monterey	Jeannine Pacioni	P.O. Box 1131, Salinas, CA 93902
Napa	Allison Haley	P.O. Box 720, Napa, CA 94559
Nevada	Clifford Newell	201 Commercial Street, Nevada City, CA 95959

County, cont'd	District Attorney	Mailing Address
Orange County	Todd Spitzer	401 Civic Center Drive West, Santa Ana, CA 92701
Placer	Morgan Briggs Gire	10810 Justice Center Drive, Roseville, CA 95678
Plumas	David Hollister	520 Main Street, Room 404, Quincy, CA 95971
Riverside	Michael Hestrin	3960 Orange Street, Riverside, CA 92501
Sacramento	Anne Marie Schubert	901 G Street, Sacramento, CA 95814
San Benito	Candice Hooper	419 4th Street, Hollister, CA 95023
San Bernardino	Jason Anderson	303 W. Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415
San Diego	Summer Stephan	330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300, San Diego CA 92101
San Francisco	Chesa Boudin	880 Bryant Street, Third Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103
San Joaquin	Tori Verber Salazar	P.O. Box 990, Stockton, CA 95202
San Luis Obispo	Dan Dow	1035 Palm Street, 4th Floor, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
San Mateo	Stephen M Wagstaffe	400 County Center, Third Floor, Redwood City, CA 94063
Santa Barbara	Joyce Dudley	1112 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Santa Clara	Jeffrey Rosen	70 West Hedding Street, West Wing, San Jose, CA 95110
Santa Cruz	Jeffrey Rosell	701 Ocean Street, Room 200, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
Shasta	Stephanie Bridgett	1355 West Street, Redding, CA 96001
Sierra	Sandra Groven	100 Courthouse Square, Downieville, CA 95936
Siskiyou	J. Kirk Andrus	311 Fourth Street, Room 204, Yreka, CA 96097
Solano	Krishna A. Abrams	675 Texas Street, Suite 4500, Fairfield, CA 94533
Sonoma	Jill Ravitch	600 Administration Drive, Room 212J, Santa Rosa CA 95403
Stanislaus	Birgit Fladager	832 12th Street, Suite 300, Modesto, CA 95353
Sutter	Amanda Hooper	446 Second Street, Suite 102, Yuba City, CA 95991
Tehama	Matthew Rogers	P.O. Box 519, Red Bluff, CA 96080
Trinity	Donna Daly	P.O. Box 310, Weaverville, CA 96093
Tulare	Tim Ward	221 South Mooney Blvd, Suite 224, Visalia, CA 93291
Tuolumne	Laura Krieg	423 North Washington Street, Sonora, CA 95370
Ventura	Gregory Totten	800 South Victoria Avenue, Ventura, CA 93009
Yolo	Jeffrey Reisig	301 Second Street, Woodland, CA 95695
Yuba	Clint Curry	215 Fifth Street, Suite 152, Marysville, CA 95901

### Template Exceptional Conduct Referral Letter from Facility Staff to CDCR Headquarters

[Date]
Brian Moak, Captain, Classification Services Unit, CDCR
Sent via email to CDCR-DAI-1170-D-Recall-of-Sentence@cdcr.ca.gov,
Brian.Moak@cdcr.ca.gov
Re: Requesting Recall of Commitment for [NAME], CDCR # [] from [] per CPC § 1170(d)(1) and 15 CCR §§ 3076 et seq.
To the Office of the Secretary;
I write in my capacity as a I have served in the position of for years. I write to ask you to initiate the recall of the sentence of I ask for this on the basis of
I have known for years. We first met in the capacity of Since then we have interacted
I have witnessed the following rehabilitative activities [Name] is a leader in
The transformation I have seen in is evidenced by They approach their responsibilities of with The activity of changed them and now they are Other positive changes [Name] has made include
[If relevant. Their advanced age of reduced the risk for future violence by Their diminished physical condition due to the diagnosis of reduced the risk for future violence by The extensive time served of years has reduced the risk for future violence by ]
I feel they would not pose a safety risk if released, as shown by
Sincerely,
Enclosures:
E.g. [chronos] [report card] [certificates]
CC

Kathleen Allison, Secretary of Operations, Division of Adult Institutions, CDCR Sent via Email <u>Kathleen.Allison@cdcr.ca.gov</u>